

NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

E-mail: gyoung@nlh.nl.ca

2014-02-04

Mr. Geoffrey Young Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro P.O. Box 12400 St. John's, NL A1B 4K7

Dear Sirs:

Re: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro - Application for Approval of the Rate Stabilization Plan Rules and Refunds to Newfoundland Power and Hydro Rural Customers - Request for Information

Enclosed are Information Requests SR-PUB-NLH-14 to SR-PUB-NLH-30 regarding the above noted application.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Board's Legal Counsel, Ms. Jacqui Glynn, by email, jgylnn@pub.nl.ca or telephone, (709) 726-6781.

Yours truly,

Cheryl Blundon Board Secretary

/bds Encl.

eçc,

Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro

Mr. Fred Cass, E-mail: fcass@airdberlis.com

Newfoundland Power Inc.

Mr. Gerard Hayes, E-mail: ghayes@newfoundlandpower.com

Mr. Liam O'Brien, E-mail: lobrien@curtisdawe.nf.ca

Consumer Advocates

Mr. Thomas Johnson, E-mail: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca Ms. Colleen Lacey, E-mail: clacey@odeaearle.ca

1	IN THE MATTER OF
2	the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994,
3	SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the " <i>EPCA</i> ")
4	and the Public Utilities Act, RSNL 1990,
5	Chapter P-47 (the "Act"), as amended; and
6	
7	IN THE MATTER OF an application by
8	Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for approval
9	of the Rate Stabilization Plan rules and a refund
0	to be provided to Newfoundland Power customers
1	and to Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Rural
l2	Customers pursuant to section 71 of the <i>Act</i> .

PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

SR-PUB-NLH-14 to SR-PUB-NLH-30

Issued: February 4, 2014

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SR-PUB-NLH-14	Further to the response to SR-PUB-NLH-002, provide all Orders of this Board and regulatory precedents from other jurisdictions that recognize the "two distinct views of electricity rates" referred to in the response.
	SR-PUB-NLH-15	Further to the response to SR-PUB-NLH-002, explain in detail how the approach to published rates and effective rates is consistent with the <i>Public Utilities Act</i> and the <i>Electrical Power Control Act</i> .
9 10 11	SR-PUB-NLH-16	Further to the response to SR-PUB-NLH-005, what was Hydro's source of funding for the rebates issued to Rural Customers in 2001 and 2003?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	SR-PUB-NLH-17	If it is found that Order in Council OC2013-089 as amended by OC2013-207 does not include the funding of refunds to Hydro's Rural Customers from the RSP Surplus Fund, does Hydro maintain that the refunds should nevertheless proceed and how would these refunds be funded?
	SR-PUB-NLH-18	Further to the response to SR-PUB-NLH-007, provide the answer to the question which had requested the implications for Labrador Interconnected Customers and Hydro of the "Current Approach" and the "Proactive Approach", in addition to Newfoundland Power and Rural Customers which are addressed in the response.
	SR-PUB-NLH-19	Further to the responses to SR-PUB-NLH-008 and SR-PUB-NLH-012, explain in detail how the refund to each Rural Customer will be calculated.
	SR-PUB-NLH-20	Hydro proposes that energy consumption in 2013 be used as the basis for calculating the refund and that refunds be processed in April 2014. Should consideration be given to using a current annual period of consumption which is related to the implementation date, for example, April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014 if the implementation date were July 1, 2014? Explain in detail the implications of using a later period of 12 months energy consumption than that proposed.
	SR-PUB-NLH-21	Further to the response to SR-NP-NLH-001, what are the alternative approaches, other than the daily invoicing and payments described in the response, that are available to transfer funds to Newfoundland Power? In the response include the estimated cost and proposed administrative effort required for each approach, including Hydro's proposed daily approach.
40 41 42 43	SR-PUB-NLH-22	Further to the responses to SR-NP-NLH-004 and SR-NP-NLH-019, describe in detail the process, including the source of funding, proposed by Hydro for recovery of costs associated with the Surplus Refund.
44 45 46	SR-PUB-NLH-23	Further to the responses to SR-NP-NLH-007 and SR-PUB-NP-1, and assuming refunds in April 2014 will not occur, what factors should be

1 considered in determining the month for the refund to customers, including what weight, if any, should be given to the estimated amount of 2 3 individual customer's monthly bills? 4 5 SR-PUB-NLH-24 Further to the response to SR-NP-NLH-009, explain in detail why it is 6 appropriate to issue a cheque for the balance to a customer who continues 7 to receive service after the date the refund credit is applied to the 8 customer's account, given the administrative effort and cost associated 9 with issuing cheques. 10 11 Further to the response to SR-NP-NLH-09, explain in detail the SR-PUB-NLH-25 circumstances where the practice has been to issue a cheque to a customer 12 who continues to receive service. Include in the reply the average number 13 of times that this occurs annually. 14 15 16 SR-PUB-NLH-26 Further to the response to SR-NP-NLH-026, given that cheques may be issued for 95% of Rural Customers, would it be more efficient to issue 17 cheques only rather than refunds and cheques? If not, why not? 18 19 20 SR-PUB-NLH-27 Further to the response to SR-NP-NLH-030, has Hydro applied for 21 confirmation from CRA that the 2002 ruling on the refund of surplus 22 Wabush earnings is applicable to the proposed RSP Surplus Refund, given that the ruling states it is based on the facts of the transaction proposed at 23 24 that time and that it be completed by March 31, 2003? If not, why not? 25 26 Further to the response to SR-NP-NLH-030, has Hydro received the ruling SR-PUB-NLH-28 it requested from CRA and if not, when is it anticipated? In the reply 27 28 explain the consideration that should be given to the uncertainty of the HST implications of the proposed refund plan in the Board's consideration 29 30 of the application and in the administration by Newfoundland Power and 31 Hydro of the refund. 32 33 Further to the response to SR-NP-NLH-033 what refund methodology is SR-PUB-NLH-29 34 referred to in lines 10-11 on page 2 and provide the Board Order numbers 35 approving such refund methodology. 36 37 Further to the response to SR-NP-NLH-039, provide the requested table SR-PUB-NLH-30 including the total number of customer to receive a refund. If any 38 information is not available explain why it is not available. 39

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland this 4th day of February 2014.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

Cheryl Blundon
Board Secretary