

1 **Q. Evidence of Ms. McShane, bond ratings/credit metrics, Pages 18-23: Ms. McShane**
2 **refers (fn 18) to most Canadian utilities' debt being unsecured, whereas NP's is**
3 **secured. Would Ms. McShane accept that for other utilities an alternative to giving**
4 **the utility a higher ROE or more equity to improve their credit metrics is for the**
5 **regulator to insist that the debt be secured, such that they also get a higher rating?**
6 **If not why not?**

7
8 A. No. First of all, in most jurisdictions in Canada, the debt that has been issued by the
9 utilities has already been approved by the regulator. Second, such a requirement
10 (assuming that it is within the purview of the regulator) is not practical, since it would
11 entail altering existing bond indentures, some of which have been in place for decades.
12 Furthermore, if increased security, or protection, is being traded off against a reduction in
13 financial integrity, i.e., less equity, and/or weaker credit metrics, as may reasonably be
14 inferred from the question, debt investors are not likely to agree to alter the bond
15 indentures. Third, there is no guarantee that requiring a utility to secure its debt would
16 result in a cost advantage, since, if there is a single class of long-term debt, secured or
17 unsecured, all bondholders are equally protected. There is only value to securing debt so
18 long as not all the firm's debt is secured. Moreover, a request to alter the indentures to
19 secure the debt may raise the investors' suspicions that the company's credit quality is
20 lower than they had thought. Fourth, secured debt is generally more costly to administer
21 (e.g., legal and reporting requirements) and more restrictive than unsecured debt. For
22 example, to dispose of assets outside the normal course of business, the company may
23 need to obtain the consent of the bond holders. Fifth, requiring utilities with unsecured
24 debt to secure that debt could cause inter-lender issues, e.g., with providers of bank
25 credit. Sixth, not all the debt rating agencies maintain a ratings distinction between
26 secured and unsecured debt. DBRS, for example, maintains the same ratings on the
27 secured debt and the unsecured debt of FortisBC Energy Inc.