1

2

3

4

5

- Q. Reconstruction (Pooled) p. 43-44 of 100. Table 2 on page 44 of 100 indicates that estimated expenditures in 2010 are \$4,421,000 which includes \$1,062,000 for the March storm on the east coast. How was the March storm event's expenses treated from a regulatory perspective.
- A. From a regulatory perspective, the repair of damages to the electrical system as a result of the March 2010 ice storm was undertaken in accordance with the Allowance for Unforeseen Items approved by the Board in Order No. P.U. 41 (2009) as part of the Company's 2010 Capital Budget.
- On April 30, the Company filed an application with the Board for the addition of a supplementary allowance of \$4.2 million to the 2010 Allowance for Unforeseen Items. In approving this application, the Board stated, in Order No. P.U. 17 (2010), that it was satisfied that the use of the Allowance for Unforeseen Items by Newfoundland Power for the repair of damage resulting from the March ice storm was in accordance with the Capital Budget Application Guidelines.