- At page 8 of Tab 2.3, it states that if the requested CEA amendment is accepted, and 1 Q. 2 the deadline for dealing with the equipment is extended until 2025, the phase-out 3 can be completed over a 15 year period (2011-2025). It is then stated that, "This longer timeframe would put the Company in a better position to meet Environment 4 5 Canada's regulatory requirements without drastically (sic) impacting the 6 Company's annual capital budget expenditures." Please compare the capital budget 7 estimates for completing the testing and expected remediation work prior to this 8 2014 deadline with the more gradual (2011-2025) phase-out. 9
 - A. Table 1 compares the impact on Newfoundland Power's forecast annual capital budget requirements through 2014 of (i) the current regulation deadline of 2014, with (ii) the CEA requested deadline of 2025.

Table 1
Comparative Cost of Requested PCB Regulation Amendment 2011 to 2014
(\$000s)

Year	2014 Deadline	2025 Deadline
2011	1,500	1,500
2012	3,000	1,000
2013	5,000	1,000
2014	5,000	1,000

If the deadline for dealing with the equipment is extended until 2025, the testing and expected remediation work would be spread over the 15-year period to 2025.

In developing the 2011 PCB Removal Strategy, Newfoundland Power has scheduled most testing in the early years and most replacement work in the later years. The capital expenditure proposed for 2011 represents the least-cost approach to compliance with the current regulation deadline of December 31, 2014.

Please refer to the Response to Request for Information CA-NP-4.

212223

19

20

15

16 17 18

10

11

12

13 14