1 2 3 4	Q.	Over Cicch the to the al	all recommended ROE and the ROE allowed by the formula. Mr. netti's recommended ROE is in a range 9.0-9.60% and he recommends op of the range based on current financial market turmoil and notes that llowed ROE from the formula is 8.5%
5		the a	
5		(\mathbf{a})	Diago confirm that all three estimates of the fair DOE come from
07		(a)	rease communication of US closenic on noticeal gog utilities using a
/			analyzing ettier a sample of US electric of natural gas utilities using a two stops DCE estimate and DCE based with promium model
0			two-stage DCF estimate of a DCF based risk premium model.
9		(b)	Plasse confirm that none of Mr. Cicchetti's recommendation is based
11		(0)	directly either on Canadian firms or data from the Canadian canital
11			market If Mr. Ciachetti con not confirm plasse point explicitly to any
12			narket. If Wit. Citcheth call not commin please point explicitly to any
13			a result of reviewing Canadian capital market data or firms
14			a result of reviewing Canadian capital market data of minis.
15		(\mathbf{a})	Diago indicato the courses/studios Mr. Cicebatti has taken in
10		(\mathbf{c})	international finance
1/			international infance.
10		(d)	Plaga indicate whether Mr. Cischetti is sware of the interest rate
19		(u)	prease multicate whether Mir. Cicclicul is aware of the interest rate
20			parity result and it so please explain it succinculy.
21		(a)	Disass indicate any theory on prosting in intermetional finance that
22		(e)	rease indicate any theory or practice in international finance that
23			indicates that you can take estimates of the fair opportunity cost,
24			that is equity cost or required rate of return from one country and
25			apply it in another without doing any checks.
26			
27		(1)	Please indicate what checks are required and where in Mir. Cicchetti's
28			evidence ne nas made these checks.
29		(\cdot)	
30		(g)	Please provide the current Prime rate in the US and Canada and the
31			current long term (30 year) government bond rates. Currently the
32			difference between the two rates in above is 1.0% and 0.50%
33			respectively please confirm that if the rate differentials are subtracted
34 25			from his range of estimates the result is a range of either 8.0%-8.60%
35			based on Prime or 8.50%-9.1% based on long government bond
36			yields.
31			
38 20		(n)	rease confirm that the current allowed formula KUE of 8.50% is
39 40			within the range indicated in above once basic differences between the
40			US and Canada are accounted for.
41			
42	A	. (a.)	Confirmed.
43			

1 2 3	(b.)	Mr. Cicchetti did not directly rely on any Canadian firms in his cost of equity analyses. Mr. Cicchetti did evaluate the Canadian capital markets and utility industry in reaching his recommendation.
4 5	(c.)	Multinational financial management.
6 7 8 9	(d.)	Mr. Cicchetti is aware of the interest rate parity condition. The interest rate parity condition when dealing with international investment /comparison is the following:
11 12 13		Returns on U.S. Assets = Returns on Foreign Assets + Expected Dollar Depreciation
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		If the positions are covered at the beginning of the investment period (using a forward rate to convert the future transactions in currencies), then there is no risk associated with the exchange rate change, the above equation becomes the covered interest rate parity condition. Most empirical results suggest the covered interest (rate) parity condition holds exactly if appropriate assets (forward contracts, risk free assets in different countries) are chosen. In this case, the expected dollar depreciation is known given the forward currency contracts.
23 24 25 26 27 28		If the position is not covered, then the condition (uncovered interest rate parity) still should hold in theory. In reality, economists have been having a hard time validating the condition using actual exchange rate data because the expected exchange rate is very hard to measure and using the actual exchange rate often leads to problematic empirical results.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	(e.)	Canada and the U.S. are closely integrated trading partners with similar economic characteristics, public policies, and free capital flows between them. The U.S. dollar did not depreciate in 2008 and has gained approximately 11 percent in the first seven months of 2009. The dollar is not expected to change in 2010 so Mr. Cicchetti's methodology is a reasonable current estimate of the cost of common equity for Newfoundland Power.
37 38 39 40	(f.)	The prime rate and long-term government rate for the U.S. are 3.25 percent and 4.22 percent, respectively. The prime rate and long-term government rate for Canada are 2.25 percent and 3.90 percent, respectively.
41 42 43	(g.)	Not confirmed. The difference in the long-term government bond rates currently is 38 basis points and not 50 basis points.
44 45		Not confirmed. Mr. Cicchetti does not believe this is a valid analysis or conclusion.