

1 **Q. Reference: Section 5, Customer Rates**

2  
3 **The evidence of NP indicates that in 2010 “peak demand will increase by 1.0%”**  
4 **(page 5-1, line 5) while “Purchased peak demand is forecast to increase by**  
5 **approximately 1.1% from 2009 to 2010” (page 5-5, lines 6-7). Please confirm that**  
6 **the difference is fully explained by the fact that the Mws produced by NP are**  
7 **unchanged from 2009 to 2010. If there are any other factors, please provide a**  
8 **detailed explanation.**

9  
10 **A.** Table 1 provides the Forecast Supply Requirements for 2009 and 2010 assuming  
11 approval of the rate increase proposed in the Application. Table 1 also shows the percent  
12 change in energy and peak demand between 2009 and 2010.  
13  
14

**Table 1**  
**Forecast Supply Requirements**  
**2009 to 2010**

	<b>2009F</b>	<b>2010F</b>	<b>% Change</b>
<b>Energy (GWh)</b>			
Produced	425.9	428.8	0.7
Purchased	5,192.6	5,244.2	1.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,618.5</b>	<b>5,673.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Peak Demand (MW)</b>			
Produced	117.93	117.93	0.0
Purchased	1,144.76	1,157.12	1.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,262.69</b>	<b>1,275.05</b>	<b>1.0</b>

15  
16  
17 Table 1 shows that total peak demand is forecast to increase by 1.0%, while purchased  
18 peak demand is forecast to increase by 1.1%.

19  
20 It is confirmed that the difference between the percent increase for **total** peak demand  
21 and **purchased** peak demand is fully explained by the fact that the produced peak  
22 demand is unchanged from 2009 to 2010. The produced peak demand represents the  
23 generation credit provided for in Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro’s wholesale rate  
24 structure.