

1 **Q. In this Application at paragraph 10 (a) NP proposes that the “Board approve**
2 **amortizations, with effect from January 1, 2010, to:**

3
4 (a) **amortize the recovery over a four year**
5 **period of certain 2009 conservation costs**
6 **associated with the implementation of**
7 **The Conservation Plan;. . . “**
8

9 **Please confirm that had NP not filed this general Rate Application and opted**
10 **instead to file in 2010 using a 2011 test year, that there would have been no**
11 **disadvantage to NP in delaying the amortization of certain 2009 conservation costs**
12 **until January of 2011.**
13

14 A. Had Newfoundland Power not filed the 2010 General Rate Application, it would not have
15 had an opportunity to earn a just and reasonable return in 2010 as provided in the *Public*
16 *Utilities Act*. Accordingly, Newfoundland Power cannot confirm there would be no
17 disadvantage in its opting to file a general rate application for 2011 instead of the 2010
18 General Rate Application.
19

20 Newfoundland Power can confirm that a delay in amortization of recovery of
21 approximately \$1.5 million in deferred 2009 conservation costs from 2010 to 2011 would
22 not, in and of itself, present a material disadvantage to Newfoundland Power.