

1 **Q. Re: Changes in Load Variation Component Allocation**

2 In Mr. Brockman's evidence, he indicated he would take no issue with the Board
3 approving the current IC rates as final for 2008 and 2009, subject to the RSP
4 balances being revised to reflect the use of energy ratios for the load variation
5 component (see page 31, Brockman Evidence). Does Mr. Greneman agree that the
6 Board's approval of final rates for the Industrial Customers should include an order
7 reallocating the RSP balances in the manner suggested by Mr. Brockman?

8

9

10 **A.** Mr. Greneman agrees that the Board's approval of final rates for the Industrial
11 Customers should include an order reallocating the RSP load variation components
12 using energy ratios.