

1    Q.    **Re: Changes in Load Variation Component Allocation**

2            Provide a comparison of the December 31, 2010 forecast monthly RSP balances in  
 3            the Industrial and Retail Plan related to the load variation component for the period  
 4            January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2010, using both the existing approach to  
 5            computing the load variation component and an allocation approach for the load  
 6            variation component based on energy ratios. If possible, please include estimated  
 7            interest effects.

8  
 9  
 10    A.    The following table provides a comparison of the RSP balances in the Industrial and  
 11            Retail Plan related to the load variation component for the period January 1, 2007  
 12            to December 31, 2010, using both the existing approach to computing the load  
 13            variation component and an allocation approach for the load variation component  
 14            based on energy ratios. Interest effects are included. It assumes no refund or  
 15            recovery of the balance and shows only the difference in balances under the  
 16            existing and allocation approaches.

Year	Retail Load Variation (\$)		Industrial Load Variation (\$)	
	Existing Approach	Allocation Approach	Existing Approach	Allocation Approach
2007	254,257	(5,326,309)	(6,392,937)	(768,936)
2008	244,906	(15,165,000)	(17,584,688)	(2,048,606)
2009	96,787	(41,198,456)	(45,606,008)	(3,969,455)
2010F	74,966	(67,861,450)	(74,483,711)	(5,987,263)