

**Q. IC Rate Design Review Report**

**(a) On page 3 of Mr. Brockman’s evidence (lines 5-7), the assertion is made that the approach proposed by Mr. Brockman is “...consistent with the proposed approach in the IC Rate Design Review Report, agreed to by all parties...”. Please confirm that the IC Rate Design Review Report referenced is the report filed with the Board February 5, 2008.**

**(b) Please confirm that the February 5, 2008 report has never been “agreed to” or approved by the Board in any manner, and is not today implemented.**

**(c) Please confirm that the February 5, 2008 report retains at least 3 individual technical items where no agreement has been reached, and future resolution was expected to be required (e.g., see page 1-2 of the noted report).**

**A. (a) It is confirmed.**

**(b) It is confirmed.**

**(c) It is confirmed.**