

1 Q. Explain how Hydro's suggestion to the Board, expressed in the June 30, 2009
2 covering correspondence to this Application, that "the Board may wish to consider
3 suspension of the existing load variation allocation rules and holding in abeyance
4 current and future load variation amounts until such time as Hydro can develop a
5 proposal to address the current anomalies in the RSP", differs, for the applicable
6 period, from the effect of approving the interim rates as final rates as sought by the
7 Application.

8

9

10 A. Hydro's suggestion to the Board is intended to offer an option such that load
11 variation amounts calculated in the Industrial RSP would not be expressly indicated
12 as attributable solely to Industrial Customers. This will not be explicitly done if the
13 sole action of the Board is to approve interim rates as final.