1 **QUESTION:**

- 2 (page 11, lines 22 26) It is stated that Hydro's suggestion to suspend the operation of the RSP
- 3 load variation provision and its implied preference for reallocation would need to be assessed in
- 4 regard to classic legal fairness issues relating to retroactive ratemaking.
- 5 Has such an assessment been filed in Mr. Bowman's evidence? If so, please provide a reference.
- 6 Has such an assessment been filed in this Application? If so, please provide references.
- 7 In Mr. Bowman's opinion, are any of the experts participating in this Application qualified to file
- 8 such evidence?
- 9 In Mr. Bowman's opinion is the Board able to issue an Order on the basis of the evidence filed
- 10 given Hydro's suggestion to suspend the operation for the RSP load variation and its implied
- 11 preference for reallocation?

12 **RESPONSE:**

- 13 a)
- No. Mr. P. Bowman's evidence does not address legal opinions. Mr. P. Bowman's evidence only
- 15 notes that the IC group has been subjected to the well documented and understood rules of the
- RSP throughout this period, and were at risk accordingly of both increases and decreases in their
- 17 costs pursuant to these approved rules. In common parlance, it is clearly "retroactive" to apply a
- 18 newly devised set of rules to past periods where other approved rules were in place.
- 19 b)
- 20 No.
- 21 c)
- No. Questions of law are not appropriately addressed by technical experts.
- 23 d)
- 24 The question is a legal matter.