

1 **QUESTION:**

2 (page 11, lines 13 – 21) What does Mr. Bowman propose that the Board do to address Hydro's  
3 "inappropriate rate discrimination" to deter Hydro from repeating the offense in the future?

4 **RESPONSE:**

5 Mr. P. Bowman does not refer to the situation as an "offense". The evidence simply notes that  
6 there was no basis to charge the IC customers (other than Teck) 1.215 cents/kW.h to pay down  
7 the historical RSP balance after the date at which the historical RSP was fully paid. These  
8 amounts were not properly charged to the non-Teck IC ratepayers, and ought to be corrected.  
9 Otherwise, there is simply no basis whatsoever to justify the treatment of the non-Teck IC over  
10 this period.