1	Re: 2	009 Capital Projects \$200,000 - \$500,000 p. D-15 - Generator Oil Level
2	System - Holyrood	
3	Q.	a) When was the existing generator oil level system on Units #1 and #2
4		(Holyrood) installed?
5		
6		b) Has a different oil level system ever been employed on Units #1 and #2,
7		and if so, when?
8		
9		c) Has there ever been a failure of Unit #1 or #2 due to depletion of oil
10		levels?
11		
12		d) If the answer to c) is yes, were these failures attributable to the existing oil
13		level system?
14		
15		
16	A.	a) (Please note that this project is required to replace the generator oil level
17		system on Units 1 and 2 at Cat Arm).
18		The existing generator oil level system was installed on the units when the
19		Cat Arm Generating Plant was constructed in 1985.
20		
21		b) There has been no other oil level system employed on the units.
22		
23		c) There has never been a failure of the units due to depletion of oil levels.
24		However, the potential for failure exists because the current system is
25		unreliable due to its inability to trigger a low level alarm. In October 2003, an
26		oil leak from the generator thrust bearing was discovered during annual
27		maintenance procedures. Had a low level alarm been operational, this leak
28		would have been discovered sooner. This condition put the generator at risk

CA-NLH-23 NLH 2009 Capital Budget

NEIT 2003 Capital Badge
Page 2 of 2
of failure due to loss of oil pressure causing increased friction to damage the
generator and turbine bearing. A functional oil level system would have
detected the leak and annunciated an alarm.
d) Please refer to c) above.