

1 **Q. (Application Volume 1, page 1-8) It is stated *The Company's business risks have not***
2 ***materially changed since 2018. and Newfoundland Power's business risks also***
3 ***continue to be defined by longstanding factors. Why then is NP seeking a substantial***
4 ***increase in its ROE despite no change in its business risks?***

5
6 A. Newfoundland Power is seeking an increase in ROE from 8.5% to 9.8%. The
7 recommended ROE of 9.8% reflects the expert opinion of Mr. James Coyne of
8 Concentric Energy Advisors.

9
10 Mr. Coyne's recommendation is based upon analytical tools and data sources normally
11 used for such purposes before regulators in Canada and the U.S.¹ Mr. Coyne's analysis
12 included an examination of the legal and regulatory requirements for determining a fair
13 rate of return.

14
15 The Board has applied its view of the fair return standard for Newfoundland Power in a
16 number of proceedings. In Order No. P.U. 2 (2019), the Board stated:

17
18 *"In Order Nos. P.U. 43(2009), P.U. 13(2013) and P.U. 18(2016) the*
19 *Board explained that 'to be considered fair the return must be*
20 *commensurate with the return on investments of similar risk and sufficient*
21 *to assure financial integrity and to attract necessary capital.' All three of*
22 *these requirements must be met and no one requirement takes precedence*
23 *over the other two."*²

24
25 The fair return standard is essentially a relative concept. In developing a
26 recommendation for Newfoundland Power's ROE, Mr. Coyne considered the returns of
27 utilities comparable to Newfoundland Power. Mr. Coyne's analysis included the
28 selection of Canadian, U.S. and North American proxy groups. A range of ROE results
29 for each proxy group was developed using the CAPM, Constant Growth DCF and Multi-
30 Stage DCF methodologies.³

31
32 Mr. Coyne's analysis places the greatest weight on the results of the North American
33 Electric Utilities proxy group, as that group is most representative of Newfoundland
34 Power. The analysis showed that the average of all 3 methods for the North American
35 proxy group is 10.0%, within the range of 9.44% and 10.56%. Based on this analysis,
36 Mr. Coyne recommended 9.8% as a reasonable estimate of Newfoundland Power's
37 required cost of equity.⁴

¹ See the 2022/2023 General Rate Application, Volume 3, Expert Evidence, Cost of Capital: Mr. James Coyne, page 2.

² See Order No. P.U. 2 (2019), page 12, lines 9-12.

³ See the 2022/2023 General Rate Application, Volume 3, Expert Evidence, Cost of Capital: Mr. James Coyne, page 2.

⁴ Ibid., page 3.

1 Changes in Newfoundland Power’s business risk were considered in assessing the
2 Company’s proposed capital structure. Newfoundland Power has proposed maintaining
3 its targeted capital structure of 45% common equity for ratemaking purposes. This is
4 consistent with the Company’s view that its business risks have not materially changed
5 since its last general rate application.
6

7 Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power’s proposed capital
8 structure based on an examination of the Company’s business and financial risks relative
9 to the proxy groups.⁵ Mr. Coyne concluded that the current deemed common equity ratio
10 for Newfoundland Power of 45% remains the minimum appropriate level given its
11 relative financial and business risks.⁶

⁵ Ibid., page 2.

⁶ Ibid., page 79.