

1 **Q. Exhibit 2, Appendix A – NPV Analysis**

2
3 **How would a lower NPV, for example, breakeven, and the elimination of the rate**
4 **mitigation benefit impact Newfoundland Power’s position on implementation of EV**
5 **electrification programs?**

6
7 A. Newfoundland Power’s position is that implementation of EV electrification programs is
8 justified based on the delivery of reliable service to customers at least cost.¹

9
10 A net present value (“NPV”) analysis determined that implementation of planned EV
11 electrification programs will provide rate mitigating benefits to customers over the long
12 term.² This is consistent with the Board’s findings and recommendations as part of the
13 *Reference on Rate Mitigation Options and Impacts*.³

14
15 Additionally, a modified Total Resource Cost (“mTRC”) test determined that each
16 planned EV electrification program is cost-effective from both a customer and a utility
17 perspective.⁴ Use of the mTRC is consistent with sound utility practice.⁵

18
19 Should subsequent analyses determine that EV electrification programs are no longer
20 cost-effective or providing rate mitigating benefits to customers, the Company would
21 adjust its programming accordingly to ensure consistency with least-cost service delivery.

¹ See Section 3(b)(iii) of the *Electrical Power Control Act, 1994*.

² See the *2021 Electrification, Conservation and Demand Management Application*, Volume 1, Exhibit 2, Appendix A.

³ See the *2021 Electrification, Conservation and Demand Management Application*, Volume 1, Evidence, page 6, section 2.3 Customer Rate Mitigation.

⁴ *Ibid.*, page 17, Table 6.

⁵ *Ibid.*, page 18, footnote 43.