Q.	Reference: Transmission Expansion Study, Appendix B, Appendix A ("Labrador West
	Future Transmission Supply Alternatives")

Preamble:

Appendix A to Appendix B presents 17 "alternatives" for the Labrador West Transmission system.

a) Please provide a table summarizing the key data regarding these 17 alternatives. The following format is suggested, with alternatives sorted based on firm capacity provided:

Alternative	Alternative	Applicable	Principal	Resulting system capacity		Capital
#	name	Load	elements	(MW)		Cost
		Forecast		Firm (n-1)	Total (all	
					equipment	
					in service)	

b) Please indicate which of the options studied in Appendix B is the Labrador West

Transmission Project (LWTP), as described in the Labrador West Transmission Project

Exemption Order NLR 11/14.

i) According to NLR 11/14, "Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro is exempt from the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994 and the Public Utilities Act for all planning, design, construction and contribution activities pertaining to the Labrador West Transmission Project. » Please explain how the consequences of this exemption with respect to the Transmission Expansion Plan, the Network Addition Policy, and the various actions that the Board will take in relation to these two documents.

ii) Insofar as other options described in the Transmission Expansion Plan include some of the elements described in section 2 of NLR 11/14, please explain Hydro's

understanding of the implications of the Exemption Order with respect to these 1 2 other options. 3 iii) Please indicate the amount that has been expended by Hydro to date with respect 5 to the Labrador West Transmission Project (LWTP). iv) Please indicate whether Hydro is considering writing off the past expenditures on the LWTP and, if so, when such an action could be taken. 8 9 10 11 A. a) Please refer to Table 1 for the key data, in the format requested.

Table 1: Key Data for each Labrador West Alternative

Alt.	Alternative	Load		Resulting Sy	stem Capacity (MW)	Capital
#	Name	Forecast (MW)	Principal Elements	Firm (n-1)	Total (all equipment in service)	Cost (\$ million)
1	Status Quo	335	<ul> <li>Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, and L40</li> </ul>	252	350	1.43
2	Status Quo – Tacora Load (Interruptibles)	383	<ul> <li>Curtailment in excess of 350 MW at \$10/kW per month.</li> <li>Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, L36, and L40</li> </ul>	252	350	1.82
3	Status Quo – Tacora + Data Centres (Interruptibles)	434	<ul> <li>Curtailment in excess of 350MW at \$10/kW per month.</li> <li>Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, L36 and L40</li> </ul>	252	350	1.82
4	Tacora Upgrade	383	<ul> <li>Commissioning of SC3<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Replace T4 and</li> </ul>	252	421	15.12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Synchronous Condenser #3 ("SC3")

.

ΛI÷	Altornativo	Load		Resulting Sy	Capital	
Alt.	Alternative Name	Forecast (MW)	Principal Elements	Firm (n-1)	Total (all equipment in service)	Cost (\$ million)
			T5 New 23 MVAR cap bank Replace 4, 46 kV breakers Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, L36, and L40			
5	Tacora and Data Centers Upgrade	434	<ul> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace T4, T5, and T6</li> <li>New 72 MVAR cap bank</li> <li>Replace 4, 46 kV breakers</li> <li>Thermal upgrade of L23/L24</li> <li>Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, L36, and L40</li> </ul>	252	454	31.66
6	Third 230 kV Line from CF to Wabush	434	<ul> <li>New 215km of 230 kV line from CF² to WTS³ and terminations</li> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace T4, T5, and T6</li> <li>New 19 MVAR cap bank</li> <li>Replace 15, 46kV breakers</li> <li>Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, L36, and L40</li> </ul>	434	527	251.24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Churchill Falls ("CF"). <sup>3</sup> Wabush Terminal Station ("WTS").

Alt.	Alternative	Load		Resulting Sy	stem Capacity (MW)	Capital
#	Name	Forecast (MW)	Principal Elements	Firm (n-1)	Total (all equipment in service)	Cost (\$ million)
7	Third 230 kV Line from CF to FLK <sup>4</sup> (230/46kV)	434	<ul> <li>New 215 km of 230 kV line from CF to FLK to WTS and terminations</li> <li>New 230/46 kV TS<sup>5</sup> at FLK including 29 MVAR cap bank</li> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace 10, 46 kV breakers</li> <li>25 km of new 46 kV distribution lines</li> </ul>	434	528	272.82
8	315kV Line from BLK <sup>6</sup> (HQ <sup>7</sup> ) to FLK (315/230 kV)	434	<ul> <li>New 50 km of 315 kV line from BLK to FLK and terminations</li> <li>New 315/230 kV TS at FLK including 73 MVAR cap bank</li> <li>New 5 km of 230 kV line from FLK to WTS and terminations</li> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace 15, 46 kV breakers</li> <li>Replace T4, T5, and T6</li> <li>Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, L36, and L40</li> </ul>	434	514	141.40
9	315 kV Line from BLK (HQ) to FLK (315/230/46 kV)	434	New 50 km of 315 kV line from	434	502	146.99

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flora Lake ("FLK"). <sup>5</sup> Terminal Station ("TS"). <sup>6</sup> Bloom Lake ("BLK"). <sup>7</sup> Hydro-Québec ("HQ").

		Load		Resulting Sy	stem Capacity (MW)	Capital
Alt. #	Alternative Name	Forecast (MW)	Principal Elements	Firm (n-1)	Total (all equipment in service)	Cost (\$ million)
			BLK to FLK and terminations  New 315/230/46 kV TS at FLK including 73 MVAR cap bank  New 5 km of 230 kV line from FLK to WTS and terminations  Commissioning of SC3  Replace 14, 46 kV breakers  25 km of new 46 kV distribution lines			
10	315 kV Line from CF to FLK (315/230/46 kV)	434	<ul> <li>New 210 km of 315 kV line from CF to FLK and terminations</li> <li>New 315/230/46 kV TS at FLK including 29 MVAR cap bank</li> <li>New 5 km of 230 kV line from FLK to WTS and terminations</li> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace 13, 46 kV breakers</li> <li>25 km of new 46 kV distribution lines</li> </ul>	434	574	335.86
11	315 kV Line from CF and BLK to FLK (315/230/46 kV)	434	<ul> <li>New 210 km of 315 kV line from CF to FLK and terminations</li> <li>New 50 km of 315 kV line from BLK to FLK and terminations</li> <li>New 315/230/46</li> </ul>	473	563	397.97

Alt.	Alternative Load			Resulting Sy	Capital	
#	Name	Forecast (MW)	Principal Elements	Firm (n-1)	Total (all equipment in service)	Cost (\$ million)
			kV TS at FLK  New 5 km of 230 kV line from FLK to WTS and terminations  Commissioning of SC3  Replace 10, 46 kV breakers  25 km of new 46 kV distribution lines			
12	250 MW Monopole from BLK to FLK	434	<ul> <li>New 50 km of 200 kV HVdc line from BLK to FLK and terminations</li> <li>Construction of FLK and BLK Converter Bldg. and Filter Banks</li> <li>New 230/46 kV TS at FLK</li> <li>New 5 km of 230 kV line from FLK to WTS and terminations</li> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace 4, 46kV breakers</li> <li>25 km of new 46 kV distribution lines</li> </ul>	453	585	347.90
13	250 MW BtB <sup>8</sup> Converter at BLK – 230 kV Line from BLK to FLK	434	<ul> <li>New 50 km of 230 kV ac line from BLK to FLK and terminations</li> <li>Construction at BLK of VSC<sup>9</sup> BtB Converter</li> <li>New 230/46 kV</li> </ul>	434	612	233.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Back-to-Back ("BtB"). <sup>9</sup> Voltage Source Converter ("VSC").

		, Load		Resulting Sy	Capital	
Alt. #	Alternative Name	Forecast (MW)	Principal Elements	Firm (n-1)	Total (all equipment in service)	Cost (\$ million)
			TS at FLK including 29 MVAR cap bank New 5 km of 230 kV line from FLK to WTS and terminations Commissioning of SC3 Replace 10, 46 kV breakers 25 km of new 46 kV distribution lines			
14	250 MW BtB Converter at BLK – 230 kV Line from BLK to Wabush	434	<ul> <li>New 55 km of 230 kV ac line from BLK to WTS and terminations</li> <li>Construction at BLK of VSC BtB Converter</li> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace T4, T5, and T6</li> <li>New 19 MVAR cap bank</li> <li>Replace 10, 46 kV breakers</li> <li>Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, L36 and L40</li> </ul>	434	603	216.70
15	200 MW Gas Turbine	434	<ul> <li>Installation of 4, 50 MW gas turbines and fuel storage</li> <li>Replace T4, T5, and T6</li> <li>Replace 15, 46 kV breakers</li> <li>Upgrade of distribution lines L32, L33, L36, and L40</li> </ul>	482	573	589.20

Page 8	8 o	f	1	
--------	-----	---	---	--

Alt.	Alternative	Load		Resulting Sy	stem Capacity (MW)	Capital
#	Name	Forecast (MW)	Principal Elements	Firm (n-1)	Total (all equipment in service)	Cost (\$ million)
16	3 <sup>rd</sup> 230 kV Line to FLK (230/46 kV)	499	<ul> <li>New 210 km of 230 kV line from CF to FLK and terminations</li> <li>New 230/46 kV TS at FLK including 126 MVAR cap bank</li> <li>New 5 km of 230 kV line from FLK to WTS and terminations</li> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace 10, 46 kV breakers</li> <li>25 km of new 46 kV distribution lines</li> </ul>	499	636	279.72
17	315kV Line from BLK (HQ) to FLK	499	<ul> <li>New 50 km of 315 kV line from BLK to FLK and terminations</li> <li>New 315/230/46 kV TS at FLK including 161 MVAR cap bank</li> <li>New 5 km of 230 kV line from FLK to WTS and terminations</li> <li>Commissioning of SC3</li> <li>Replace 14, 46 kV breakers</li> <li>25 km of new 46 kV distribution lines</li> </ul>	499	600	153.15

<sup>1</sup> b) Of the options studied in the "Labrador Interconnected System Transmission Expansion

2

Study," App. B, Alternative 7 reflects the project as described in the "Newfoundland and

Labrador Regulation 11/14 Labrador West Transmission Exemption Order" as the "Labrador West Transmission Project."

i. The purpose of the review of the "Labrador Interconnected System Transmission Expansion Study" is to determine whether the process and analysis described in the Study is appropriate and reasonable. Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") has not requested approval of any particular option or alternative in this process. Once Hydro determines that proceeding with a particular alternative is warranted, Hydro will apply to the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities (the "Board") through the Capital Budget Application process. Alternative 7 was not identified as a least-cost solution to provide capacity; however, if Hydro wished to proceed with Alternative 7, the exemption order would then exempt Hydro from having to bring the alternative to the Board for approval.

Hydro filed the "Network Additions Policy – Labrador Interconnected System" for review and approval by the Board. However, approval of the "Network Additions Policy – Labrador Interconnected System" does not require approval of its application to a specific project or projects. If the "Network Additions Policy – Labrador Interconnected System" was approved, the Board would not then review each application of the policy. If there were any implications under the "Network Additions Policy – Labrador Interconnected System" for the customer whose request for service drove the necessity for Alternative 7, Hydro would apply the policy in the same manner as any other. The exemption order does not have any implications for the "Network Additions Policy – Labrador Interconnected System."

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Filed as part of the "Labrador Interconnected System Network Additions Policy Summary Report," December 14, 2018.

1	ii. The exemption order applied to the "230 kV transmission system between Churchill
2	Falls and Labrador West"11 (i.e., the project as a whole). Hydro's position is that the
3	individual elements, on their own, would not meet the definition of the project and
4	therefore not be captured by the exemption.
5	
6	iii. Hydro expended \$12.9 million up to September 2017.
7	
8	iv. Settlement proceeds of \$9.5 million were received and the remaining \$3.4 million
9	was expensed as a loss.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Newfoundland and Labrador Regulation 11/14, Labrador West Transmission Exemption Order under the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994 and the Public Utilities Act (O.C. 2014-033), s.2 (February 13, 2014).