## Q. Reference: Network Addition Policy, page 8 (pdf), Re: Labrador Expansion Study, p. 38 (pdf)

3 Citation 1 (Network Addition Policy)

1 2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Table 1
Derivation of Expansion Costs per kW

Region	Capacity kW	Description	2019 Capital Investment (\$000)	Direct Investment \$ per kW
Labrador East	21,000	Transformer Upgrades at HV-GB	5,000	238
	37,000	Transformer Upgrades at HV-GB and MF Terminal Station	15,000	405
	100,000	Construct second line from MF to HV-GB	50,000	500
Labrador West	33,000	Wabush TS Upgrades and 230 kV uprating	16,500	500
Sub-Total	191,000		86,500	453
O&M <sup>9</sup>				12
Total	·	<u> </u>		465

## 4 Citation 2 (Expansion Study):

Table 10: Labrador East - Proposed Future Phases

Phase	e Load Trigger (MW) <sup>30</sup>	Project Description	Cost Estimate (\$ million) <sup>31</sup>
1	>77	MF to HVY Interconnection	20
2	>104	Transformation Upgrade at HVYTS <sup>32</sup>	5
3	>125	Transformation Upgrade at HVYTS and MFATS233	15
4	>162	Construction of Second Line from MF to HVY	50

- a) Please confirm that the three expansion projects identified for Labrador East in the Network Addition Policy are identical to the projects identified as Phase 2, 3 and 4 in the table from the Transmission Expansion Study;
- b) Please explain why the Phase 1 project from the Transmission Expansion Study (the MF to HVY Interconnection) was not included in the derivation of expansion costs in the Network Addition Policy, even though it is identified as a future project in the Transmission

