1	Q.	Reference Prefiled Evidence of Larry Brockman, page 12, lines 14-19:	
2		<b>(i)</b>	Has Mr. Brockman calculated the equivalent peaker method in the past for a
3			cost of service proceeding? If so, please provide citations to the proceeding
4			and provide the reports and underlying analyzes (sic) undertaken.
5		(ii)	For each cost of service proceeding where Mr. Brockman has calculated the
6			equivalent peaker method, please indicate if the method was adopted by the
7			Commission.
8			
9	A.	(i)	Mr. Brockman calculated equivalent peaker percentages for energy and demand
10			for the 1992 generic cost of service proceeding in Newfoundland and Labrador.
11			Mr. Brockman no longer has any work papers related to his evidence in that
12			proceeding. Consequently, he cannot provide the underlying analyses upon which
13			his calculated percentages were based.
14			
15			Mr. Brockman does not recall whether he performed similar calculations for the
16			Nova Scotia Power Incorporated cost of service and rate design proceeding in
17			which he gave evidence in 1993, nor does his evidence filed in that proceeding, or
18			the Board order, make reference to such percentages.
19			M D 1 '1 C11' 4 1000 1' 1 C 4' D 1'
20			Mr. Brockman's evidence filed in the 1992 proceeding before this Board is
21			provided as Attachments A, B and C to the response to Request for Information
22			CA-NP-001.
23 24		(ii)	Noither this Poord in the 1002 proceeding per the Neve Sectio Utility and Devicey
		(ii)	Neither this Board in the 1992 proceeding nor the Nova Scotia Utility and Review
25			Board in the 1993 proceeding adopted the equivalent peaker method.