

- 1 **Q. Reference Prefiled Evidence of Larry Brockman, page 11, lines 1-3:**
2 (i) **With respect to the use of the word "primarily" what are the other reasons**
3 **why the Muskrat Falls Project was selected as the least cost option, please**
4 **provide citation.**
5 (ii) **If more than one future growth scenario was considered in the resource plan,**
6 **what are the least-cost options that corresponded to those scenarios?**
7
- 8 A. (i) The referenced passage reflects Mr. Brockman's reliance on Hydro's evidence for
9 his understanding of the primary basis for the Muskrat Falls Project, and for his
10 agreement with Hydro's proposal to use the equivalent peaker method. Mr.
11 Brockman has not conducted an exhaustive review of other reasons for the selection
12 of the Muskrat Falls Project as the least cost option.
13
- 14 (ii) Mr. Brockman did not conduct a review of other future growth scenarios
15 considered in Hydro's resource plan, or of corresponding least-cost options. Mr.
16 Brockman's evidence addresses only the cost of service treatment of the costs of
17 the resource plan chosen by Hydro.