

1 Q. Reference: 2018 Cost of Service Methodology Review Report, page 21, Tables 5 and 6

2

3 Please provide revised Tables 5 and 6 that include an additional column that shows the
4 allocated revenue requirements and changes in unit costs if all of Brattle's
5 recommendations are accepted.

6

7

8 A. Table 1 and 2 below provide a comparison of the revenue requirement and unit costs
9 between Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's proposals in the 2021 Illustrative Cost of
10 Service Study¹ and the results assuming all The Brattle Group, Inc. recommendations are
11 accepted. The detailed assumptions are provided in the response to NP-NLH-001.

Table 1: Change in Allocated Revenue Requirements (000s)
(Revision to Table 5)

Customer Class	2021 Illustrative	2021 Brattle Recommendations	Difference
Newfoundland Power	942,537	960,439	17,902
Island Industrial	92,520	73,176	(19,344)

Table 2: Change in Unit Costs (cents per kWh)
(Revision to Table 6)

Customer Class	2021 Illustrative	2021 Brattle Recommendations	Difference
Newfoundland Power	16.16	16.46	0.31
Island Industrial	12.45	9.84	(2.60)

¹ The "2021 Illustrative Cost of Service Study" results reflecting Hydro's proposals have been adjusted to reflect functionalization of TL 247 and TL 243 as generation. The 2021 Illustrative Cost of Service Study incorrectly had these assets functionalized as transmission.