

1 **Q. Reference Prefiled Evidence of C. Douglas Bowman, page 20, lines 20-21:**

2  
3 **i) Please provide the reasons and evidence that supports the statement.**

4  
5 **(ii) The sentence implies that in the foreseeable future flow will be able to flow**  
6 **in both directions, please provide the reasons and evidence that supports the**  
7 **implication.**

8  
9  
10 **A.** The referenced passage states “*It is anticipated that flow on the LTA and LIL*  
11 *transmission assets for the foreseeable future will be primarily in one direction.*”

12  
13 (i) The statement is based on the CA Energy Consulting report (page 6, lines 23 to  
14 24) “*Hydro expects that in virtually all hours, barring an outage at Muskrat Falls*  
15 *or on the LIL, power will flow in one direction, south to the Island and points*  
16 *beyond.*”

17  
18 (ii) The CA Energy Consulting statement implies that power could flow in either  
19 direction; i.e., power may flow north when there is an outage of Muskrat Falls or  
20 the LIL. However, the cost of service study should reflect how the system is planned  
21 and operated, not what “*could*” or “*may rarely*” happen. Flow on most every  
22 generator connection (generator lead) and every load connection (specifically-  
23 assigned assets) “*could*” flow in either direction, but flow from the transmission  
24 network to the generator over a generator lead, and flow from a load to the  
25 transmission network over a specifically assigned asset is rare and does not justify  
26 categorizing connections as transmission network, or common, facilities.