| 1 | Q. | Reference: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro 2018 Cost of Service Methodology Review |
|----|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | Report, November 15, 2018, Page 4, Lines 7-11. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | "To meet the FERC requirement of reciprocity, Hydro must provide comparable open |
| 5 | | access to transmission service over the interprovincial transmission system within |
| 6 | | Newfoundland and Labrador. From a cost of service perspective, FERC requires that Hydro |
| 7 | | record its transmission costs in a manner that can be used in the determination of open |
| 8 | | access transmission tariffs." |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | In Christensen's opinion, are the Board's decisions with respect to the functionalization and |
| 11 | | classification of LIL and LTA costs practically required to conform to the cost allocation |
| 12 | | underlying open access transmission tariffs? If so, please explain. If not, why not? |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | A. | This response has been provided by Christensen Associates Energy Consulting. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | CA Energy Consulting believes that Hydro's transmission cost recovery schemes associated |
| 17 | | with open access transmission tariffs will need to conform to OATT rules, and the Board will |
| 18 | | want to ensure that Hydro can certify that it engages in open trading that accords no |
| 19 | | preference to any particular transmission user. Those rules are flexible and have been |
| 20 | | modified on regular occasion to meet the specific needs of various jurisdictions. |
| | | |