

1 **Q: Reference: "Embedded and Marginal Cost of Service Review," The Brattle**
2 **Group, May 3, 2019, Exhibit II at pp. 18-19**

3
4 **In the 1993 Cost of Service Methodology Review Report, the Board of**
5 **Commissioners of Public Utilities states: "In the Board's opinion all lines,**
6 **terminal stations and ancillary equipment dedicated to the service of a**
7 **generating station should be classified in conformity therewith."² Does The**
8 **Brattle Group consider its recommended approach to the treatment of the**
9 **Labrador Transmission Assets facilities to be inconsistent with the Board of**
10 **Commissioners of Public Utilities' opinion presented in the 1993 Cost of**
11 **Service Methodology Review Report? If not, why not?**

12
13 **A. Please see response to NLH-PUB-010.**

² "Report of the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities to the Honourable Minister of Mines and Energy Government of Newfoundland and Labrador on a Referral by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for the Proposed Cost of Service Methodology and a Proposed Method for Adjusting its Rate Stabilization Plan to Take Into Account the Variation in Hydro's Rural Revenues Resulting From Variations in the Rates Set by the Board to be Charged by Newfoundland Light & Power Co. Limited to its Customers," February 1993 at p. 44.