Page 1 of 1

Q: At page 61 of its report, the Brattle Group recommends "that the export credit be classified and allocated in the same manner as the Muskrat Falls generation, as discussed above, namely classified between demand and energy using the system load factor and allocated using the 1-CP for demand and the energy allocator for energy."

Hydro will gain revenue from exports that may arise due to energy sales (tied to payments for each kW.h exported) or capacity sales (tied to each kW made available to the export markets). What is the Brattle Group's view on whether it would be more appropriate to classify export revenues based on the relative weighting of these two export products, rather than the system load factor? Please explain the Brattle Group's view on whether or not this approach would be more in line with the classification of export revenue's value.

A.

Since at this time the nature of the contractual relations or market opportunities that will provide Muskrat Falls its source of export revenues is unkown, Brattle chose a method that was relatively agnostic with regard to those issues. If Muskrat Falls develops long-term contracts or a regular pattern of availing itself of particular types of market opportunities, then this choice of allocator can be reviewed in future rate cases.