

1 Q. At page 8 of Schedule 1 of the COS Methodology Review Report, Hydro states that
2 *“Hydro’s current classification/allocation approach is comparable to the traditional*
3 *approaches used by most electric utilities.”*

4
5 Please explain if using equivalent peaker methodology would also be comparable to the
6 approaches used by most Canadian electric utilities, with specific examples of utilities that
7 use the approach. For each example, please indicate if the equivalent peaker approach is
8 used for only select plants or for the system as a whole.

9
10 A. This response has been provided by Christensen Associates Energy Consulting.

11
12 The citation appears to be to Hydro’s report at Section 3.3.1, page 8, lines 23-24. The topic
13 is generation classification and allocation. Regarding Canadian electric utility practice,
14 please reference Christensen Associates Energy Consulting’s “Cost of Service Methodology”
15 Report, found at Appendix A to our submission dated November 15, 2018. Table 2, at page
16 22, summarizes industry practice. SaskPower is the only noted user of equivalent peaker
17 methodology, and they utilize it system-wide, but not for purchased power.