

1 **Q. Please discuss, in Mr. Bowman's view, whether functionalizing generation**  
2 **leads to transmission and then classifying them using the same ratios as the**  
3 **associated generation would be expected to yield similar COS results as**  
4 **functionalizing them as generation. Why or why not?**  
5

6  
7 **A.** Consistent with Hydro's proposal, Mr. Bowman's position is that generator leads,  
8 or connections, should be functionalized as generation and classified on the same  
9 basis as the generation they connect to the transmission network. He is not  
10 recommending that generator leads be functionalized to transmission and classified  
11 using the same ratios as the associated generation. Mr. Bowman believes that  
12 changing any of the inputs to the cost of service study would yield different results  
13 which may or may not be similar. Mr. Bowman believes the inputs to the cost of  
14 service study should reflect cost causation. Customer class impacts are not related  
15 to cost causation and should not be a consideration in the cost of service  
16 methodology. Mr. Bowman suggests that questions regarding impacts of changes to  
17 the various inputs to the cost of service study be directed to Hydro and notes that  
18 Hydro provides impacts of changes to a number of inputs in several RFI responses;  
19 i.e., IC-NLH-007, NP-NLH-001 and PUB-NLH-043.