

1 Q. **Reference: 2018 Cost of Service Methodology Review Report dated November 15, 2018**

2

3 Please provide a modified Table 6 (page 21) assuming all of Hydro's hydro generation, and
4 all other purchases (excluding wind, but including Recapture Energy) are classified on the
5 basis of the equivalent peaker approach.

6

7

8 A. Modified Table 6 assumes all of Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's hydro generation and
9 all other purchases (excluding wind, but including Recapture Energy) are classified on the
10 basis of the equivalent peaker approach. For this calculation, Newfoundland and Labrador
11 Hydro has used Mr. Brockman's¹ recommended equivalent peaker allocation percentages
12 of 26% demand and 74% energy (i.e., from the 1992 Cost of Service Methodology hearing).

**Modified Table 6: Equivalent Peaker Approach (26% demand)
vs. System Load Factor for Existing Hydraulic Supply Costs
(cents per kWh)**

Customer Class	Hydro Proposal	CA-NLH-008	Difference
Newfoundland Power	16.16	16.03	(0.13)
Island Industrial	12.45	13.56	1.12

¹ Mr. Larry Brockman, Brockman Consulting, Expert for Newfoundland Power.