Page 1 of 2

| 1 | Q. | Tab 27; Volume II: Implement Terminal Station Flood Mitigation – Springdale |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | Hydro states on page 2 that "the installation will be designed to prevent flooding of |
| 3 | | the terminal station during a 1 in 100 year rainfall event." |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | How do the referenced rainfall events in 2006 and 2015 compare to the 1 in 100 |
| 6 | | year criteria? |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | A. | Flooding of the Springdale Terminal Station occurred on April 18, 2006, and April |
| 10 | | 29, 2015. Both events were the result of increasing water levels in nearby Davis |
| 11 | | Brook. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | As there is no flow data available for Davis Brook, a Regional Flood Frequency |
| 14 | | Analysis was completed to estimate the flow rates during the most recent flood |
| 15 | | event. To complete this exercise, the peak water levels of the 2015 flood were used |
| 16 | | for hydraulic model calibration purposes. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | A nearby hydrometric station was used to replicate the flows in Davis Brook. To |
| 19 | | ensure that this station was representative of the flow regime for Davis Brook, the |
| 20 | | selected station contained similar physiographic parameters, had a period of record |
| 21 | | greater than or equal to 18 years, and was an active station during the storm event |
| 22 | | of interest; April 2015. |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | Hourly flow rates obtained from this station were correlated, using drainage area, |
| 25 | | to Davis Brook in order to derive the calibration inflow sequence for the April 2015 |
| 26 | | storm event. These flows were then compared to the Intensity-Duration-Frequency |
| 27 | | return period rainfall amounts for a 24 hour period. |

Page 2 of 2

| 1 | Based on the analysis, the flows at Davis Brook, during the 2015 storm event, were |
|----|--|
| 2 | estimated to be 38.8 m^3 /s. This equates to a 1 in 20 year event. Using the |
| 3 | representative hydrometric station, a 1 in 20 year event will result in a 24 hour |
| 4 | rainfall accumulation of approximately 73.4 mm and an average rainfall intensity of |
| 5 | 3.1 mm/hr. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Similarly, a theoretical flow was calculated for a 1 in 100 year rainfall. For an event |
| 8 | of this magnitude, the flow rate is estimated to be 50.7 m ³ /s. This event would |
| 9 | result in a 24 hour rainfall accumulation of 91.3 mm, with an average rainfall |
| 10 | intensity of 3.8 mm/hr. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Having experienced two previous flood events at the Springdale Terminal Station, |
| 13 | Hydro deemed it prudent to complete the retention berm design for the higher |
| 14 | intensity rainfall event. For this reason, the 1 in 100 year return period was |
| 15 | selected as the basis of design for the retention berms. |