Page 1 of 1

- Q. a) In Hydro's view, does the Muskrat Falls Exemption Order and/or OIC 2013-343 ensure that none of the costs of the LTA in general, and line L3101/3102 in particular, will be borne by consumers in Labrador? Please explain Hydro's position in detail.
 - b) If the Muskrat Falls Exemption Order and OIC 2013-343 were repealed, amended, or otherwise found not to ensure that none of the costs of L3101/3102 will be borne by consumers in Labrador, please explain in detail how Hydro would go about allocating the costs of these lines between the IIS and the LIS, assuming that the MFHVI is built and operated as planned, making explicit all assumptions used.

11 12

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13 A.

a) In Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's ("Hydro") view, the Muskrat Falls Exemption Order and Order in Council OC2013-343 ensures that none of the costs of the Labrador Transmission Assets in general, and line L3101/3102 in particular, will be borne by ratepayers in Labrador.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

b) The Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities (the "Board") is bound by the *Public Utilities Act, the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994*, and the directives issued under that legislation. Hydro believes it to be improper for Hydro to speculate on legislation or subordinate legislation that could be, or might be, enacted in the future. Further, Hydro states that an answer to this hypothetical question is not required for the Board or the parties to gain a full understanding of the matters and issues before the Board in the present proceeding.