1	Q.	CA-N	LH-006	In reference to the Happy Valley Interconnection and the cost
2		thereof:		
3		(a)	Should	this \$19,978,500 cost be a part of the Muskrat Falls project?
4		(b)	As to tl	he labour component of \$2,694,000, please provide details in
5			referer	nce to this component? Why is the component not a part of the
6			\$11,63	2,600 contract work?
7		(c)	Why is	the contingency \$3,215,600 so high? This is approximately 16% of
8			the tot	al project cost of \$19,978,500.
9				
10				
11	A.	(a)	Please	see Hydro's response to CA-NLH-004.
12				
13		(b)	This pr	oject involves a significant amount of internal labour required to
14		execute and manage this contract work. Internal engineering and project		
15		management will be required to prepare, tender and manage multiple contracts		
16		with support from groups such as environmental coordinators, planners, and		
17		drafting. Internal trades people will be required to act as Permit Holders and		
18		Construction Management Representatives for the entire duration of all contract		
19		work at multiple work sites. Internal trades people will also be required to perform		
20		normal duties including isolations and switching. All of these internal labour costs		
21		are included in the \$2,694,000.		
22				
23		(c)	Similar	to all capital budget applications, Hydro's standard contingency rate
24		(20%) was used in the preparation of this project proposal to determine the		
25		\$2,860,600 contingency figure.		