| 1  | Q. | On page iv of Navigant's Executive Summary, it states:                                 |
|----|----|--|
| 2  |    |  |
| 3  |    | We recommend that the customer's net consumption be billed                             |
| 4  |    | using the tariffs which would normally apply to a customer of the                      |
| 5  |    | same size, type and location and that the customer be                                  |
| 6  |    | compensated for excess power at the same rate, unless the                              |
| 7  |    | Government chooses to introduce a different rate for power                             |
| 8  |    | produced from renewable sources.   |
| 9  |    |  |
| 10 |    | Please confirm that using the retail rate, as provided in the provincial Net Metering  |
| 11 |    | Framework, for the purchase of excess generation credits would be more reflective      |
| 12 |    | of Navigant's recommendation.  |
| 13 |    |  |
| 14 |    |  |
| 15 | A. | It is confirmed. However, as stated in Hydro's response to CA-NLH-008(a), the          |
| 16 |    | customer's net energy use will be billed using the retail rates for all billing months |
| 17 |    | with the exception of the settlement month on the Annual Review Date. If               |
| 18 |    | customers do not have excess generation credits during the settlement process, the     |
| 19 |    | proposal to use a marginal cost-based payout rate will have no impact on               |
| 20 |    | customers.   |
| 21 |    |  |
| 22 |    | As stated in Hydro's response to PUB-NLH-003, the use of a rate, other than the        |
| 23 |    | retail rate, for the purchase of excess generation credits was discussed with          |
| 24 |    | Government prior to Hydro's filing its Application.                                    |
|    |    |  |