1	Q.	2017 General Rate Application - Operations				
2		Page 3.34, footnote 72 – Provide a list of the 2016 targeted reductions and				
3		efficiency opportunities that were implemented, identify the savings achieved by				
4		each opportunity and explain why each opportunity could not be sustained.				
5						
6	A.	In early 2016, direction was given by the Executive to management to review				
7		operations and, without compromising safety and reliability, identify options to				
8		reduce costs in the short-term. This had the effect of creating an immediate focus				
9		on cost reduction, without consideration of sustainability. At that time, Hydro had				
10		submitted a 2015 Test Year operating cost of \$139.8 million, the 2015 actual costs				
11		were \$150.9 million and there was uncertainty regarding cost recovery. The				
12		provincial government also provided direction to government departments, crown				
13		corporations, and agencies to reduce costs.				
14						
15		This direction resulted in Hydro management identifying the following actions to				
16		achieve a \$9.7 million cost reduction target:				
17		 Review all service agreements and contracts to reduce costs (professional 				
18		services and contract labour, including vegetation management);				
19		 Conduct mandatory training only; 				
20		 Eliminate all conferences and related travel, including that which was also 				
21		training in nature;				
22		 Reduce travel as much as possible and conduct travel that is operationally 				
23		critical only; and,				
24		 Reduce costs in all other areas where possible. 				

1 In addition, control and efficiency opportunities were implemented including: 2 Aggressive management of all hiring. All requests for new hires were approved 3 through a process of justification and assessment by Human Resources and executive approval; 4 Increased monitoring and management of overtime by implementing additional 5 6 approval by area managers; 7 • Establishment of regular meetings of senior leadership focused on cost control; 8 Automation of billings combined with a review and audit process implemented 9 for cell phone bill; and 10 Automation of mobile fleet billings to facilitate an improved capturing of costs. 11 12 In addition to the \$9.7M targeted reduction, an additional \$5.9 million was also 13 achieved, largely through a reduction in the employee future benefits expense of 14 \$1.8 million and higher than planned capitalized costs of \$3.6 million. All of these 15 actions resulted in cost reductions totaling \$16.9 million compared to the 2016 16 budget. An assessment of these savings is provided in PUB-NLH-054 Attachment 1, 17 which compares the actual 2016 results to the 2016 budget. 18 19 While the cost reduction measures were very successful, a number of those costs 20 were not sustainable. Examples include: 21 Vegetation management – while vegetation management can be reduced in 22 any given year, successive years of insufficient vegetation management has 23 the risk of increasing both outages and costs relating to responding to those 24 outages; 25 Training and conferences – a level of employee training beyond what is 26 mandatory is required in order for Hydro to maintain an engaged and trained workforce that is capable of operating, maintaining and managing a complex
 electrical system.

Travel – Hydro operates over a large geographic territory. Its employees
have to travel to maintain reliable, safe electric service. Its supervisors and
managers must travel to ensure that employees are adequately supervised,
coached and informed in order to do their jobs effectively.

During 2016 and 2017 Hydro has gone through significant change as it established its own executive team focused solely on Hydro's performance and operations. It also undertook a functional separation from Nalcor that required it to ensure that it was adequately resourced to carry out many functions that had previously been provided by Nalcor. This evolution has been ongoing while Hydro is planning and executing the required actions related to upcoming significant changes in the provincial electric system. Also during this significant change, Hydro has continued to participate in, and receive input from, regulatory proceedings which guide the decisions regarding the management and operation of Hydro's assets, so that Hydro continues to be responsive to customers' expectations of a safe, reliable electrical system.

Hydro management continues to ensure that many of the actions that achieved results in 2015 are sustained, including components of all of the actions listed above. Hydro also intends to continue an aggressive approach to cost management and control. Senior leadership is acutely aware of the economic pressures facing the province and customers. Hydro intends to manage its operations efficiently and productively wherever possible while maintaining the reliable service that customers expect. Hydro has adjusted its expectations and targets to sustainable

PUB-NLH-054 **2017** General Rate Application

Page 4 of 4

- 1 levels such that it is able to achieve a least cost, reliable service for Newfoundland
- 2 and Labrador electricity consumers over the long run.

Total Operating Expenses by Cost category \$000s

	2016		Variance	
	Original	Target	Target vs	
	Budget	Reduction	Actual	2016 Actual
Labour				
Labour related costs	86,200	(231)	(116)	85,852
Capital Labour	(17,217)	(521)	(3,634)	(21,371)
Employee future benefits	8,747	-	(1,845)	6,902
Overtime	4,618	(495)	2,041	6,164
Total Labour	82,348	(1,247)	(3,554)	77,547
System Equipment and Maintenance	29,926	(3,986)	(892)	25,048
Other				
Office supplies and expenses	2,635	(147)	(240)	2,249
Professional services	8,792	(1,690)	(440)	6,662
Insurance	2,658	0	(128)	2,530
Equipment rentals	2,954	(204)	1,447	4,197
Travel	3,446	(850)	(611)	1,984
Training	839	(339)	(110)	390
Miscellaneous expenses	5,505	(401)	(523)	4,581
Building rental and maintenance	1,216	(173)	66	1,109
Transportation	1,846	(770)	(220)	856
Customer costs	118	(0)	9	126
Total Other	30,008	(4,574)	(749)	24,685
Cost Allocations	(2,713)	33	(689)	(3,369)
Total operating costs	139,569	(9,774)	(5,883)	123,912