1 Q. Further to response to Request for Information NP-NLH-007: 2 Please provide: 3 4 (i) Hydro's methodology for calculating customer satisfaction; and 5 (ii) a comparison of Hydro's methodology to the methodology used by the Canadian Electricity Association in the 2014 National Public Attitudes Survey 6 7 and the 2016 National Public Attitudes Survey. 8 9 10 (i) Hydro's most recent residential survey was conducted in 2016 by a third party A. 11 vendor. The primary objective of the research is to assess customer satisfaction 12 with the service provided by Hydro and to identify any changes in customer 13 satisfaction over time. Hydro's surveys are based on a 10 point scale, with 1 14 being "not at all satisfied" and 10 being "very satisfied". 15 16 In 2016, customers were surveyed by a combination of telephone and online. As 17 this was the first time an online method was used for Hydro's survey, it was 18 conducted to set a baseline to enable Hydro to transition to an online survey in 19 the future. The results from the telephone portion survey were used to inform 20 the majority of the 2016 survey. The sampling frame included all households 21 within Hydro's service area that identified Hydro, or Nalcor Energy, as their 22 electricity supplier. The sampling unit was the adult household member 23 responsible for paying the electricity bill and dealing with Hydro. 24 25 A total of 725 residential customers completed the telephone portion of the 26 survey which provides a margin of error of ±3.6%, 19 times out of 20. A total of 27 418 residential customers completed the online portion, providing a margin of

error of ±4.6%, 19 times out of 20. To allow for analysis by region and rate area, disproportionate stratified sampling was used to draw a random sample from the areas. As a result, regional weights were applied to ensure the sample was a proportionate representation of the population at the overall level.

(ii) The Canadian Electricity Association (CEA) National Public Attitudes Surveys conducted in 2014 and 2016 follow similar formats. CEA engaged a third party to conduct online surveys, and chose 7,646 respondents in 2014 and 7,783 in 2016. The samples, however, were weighted by age, gender, and region using 2011 Statistics Canada Census data to reflect the actual demographic composition of the population in every region. Prior to 2014, the CEA surveys were based on a 10-point scale, with 1 being "not at all satisfied" and 10 being "very satisfied". Since 2014, CEA has moved to an 11-point scale, with 0 being "very dissatisfied" and 10 being "very satisfied". The 11-point scale provides a neutral midpoint (5) and truly opposite end points.

Provincial oversamples were conducted to ensure larger sub-samples in areas of smaller populations.² The oversampled regions are weighted back to their population proportions, resulting in overall national sample sizes of 3,193 in 2014, and 3,474 in 2016. Each survey is administered to a series of randomly selected samples. Each respondent is provided with a unique URL via an email so that only invited respondents are able to complete the survey, and can only complete a survey once. Since each Canadian province has a unique electricity regime, the survey is designed to allow tailoring of questions to the unique circumstances of each province and, often, specific regions within a province.

-

¹ CEA respondents are identified as being "Canadian adults".

² Additional oversamples were conducted in specific sub-areas at the request of CEA members.

According to the Marketing Research and Intelligence Agency (MRIA), margins
of error for online surveys are not calculable; however, the CEA methodology
suggests the unweighted probabilities for sample sizes of 3,193 and 3,474 would
have estimated margins of error of ±1.79% and ±1.66%, respectively, 19 times
out of 20. ³

1

2

3

4

5

 $^{^{3}}$ MRIA is a Canadian not-for-profit association representing all aspects of the market intelligence and survey research industry.