

1 Q. **Volume 1 (1st Revision), Chapter 3: Operations**

2 For each initiative intended to reduce the cost of providing service to rural
 3 customers, please provide an estimate of the energy and cost savings achieved for
 4 the approved 2015 test year, 2015 and 2016 actuals, 2017 forecast and 2018 and
 5 2019 test years. The cost savings should be net of any costs required to implement
 6 the initiative and any reduced revenue as a result of the initiative. (Volume I (1st
 7 Revision), Chapter 3: Operations, Page 3.32, Line 6, et. seq.)

8
 9
 10 A. The following initiatives are intended to reduce the cost of providing service to rural
 11 customers:

12 Customer CDM Programs – Rural Isolated Systems

13 Table 1 presents the net cost savings for Hydro’s energy conservation programs in
 14 rural isolated systems.¹

Table 1 Customer CDM Programs – Rural Isolated Systems

| | Annual Energy Savings (MWh) | NPV of Energy Savings (\$) | Annual Program Costs (\$) | Annual Revenue Loss (\$) | NPV Benefits (\$) |
|-------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2015F | 882 | 1,226,499 | 763,800 | 662,802 | (200,102) |
| 2015 | 1,494 | 2,392,733 | 634,720 | 1,184,466 | 573,547 |
| 2016 | 779 | 812,560 | 547,902 | 462,186 | (197,529) |
| 2017F | 533 | 1,120,996 | 948,001 | 496,252 | (323,257) |
| 2018F | 540 | 1,077,515 | 1,161,000 | 480,172 | (563,658) |
| 2019F | 174 | 278,812 | 174,000 | 96,740 | 8,072 |

¹ This presentation of NPV in this manner is similar to the RIM (Rate Impact Measure) economic test. Per P.U. 18(2016) to Newfoundland Power, the Board does not require Hydro and Newfoundland Power to perform this test on its CDM programs.

Connecting Isolated Systems to the Interconnected Grid

1 Rencontre East is the most recent (2006) isolated system to be connected to the
2 interconnected grid, in addition to over 60 other systems over the past 50 years. As
3 with any capital initiative that is intended to reduce costs, a favourable cost-benefit
4 analysis was required, and completed prior to any interconnection projects being
5 approved. However, the actual cost savings produced post interconnection are not
6 tracked. It is reasonable to expect that projects previously undertaken to
7 interconnect isolated systems, which had a favourable cost-benefit analysis,
8 continue to be more cost-effective than supplying those systems via more costly
9 diesel generation.
10

Alternative Sources of Supply

11
12 Hydro continues to evaluate any initiatives that have potential to reduce the costs
13 associated with its isolated diesel systems. An example of a recent initiative is the
14 power purchase agreement Hydro entered into with the Mary's Harbour small
15 hydroelectric generation site. Any energy Hydro purchases from the private hydro
16 site will help to displace a portion of fuel usage and emissions associated with
17 diesel generation at Mary's Harbour. Annual cost savings will be dependent on the
18 actual annual production of the site, which will vary due to annual hydrological
19 variations. The Mary's Harbour hydro facility is expected to begin operating in 2018.
20

Internal Energy Efficiency Initiatives – Rural Isolated Systems

21
22 Hydro's Internal Energy Efficiency Program continually seeks opportunities to
23 reduce operating costs in isolated systems. Energy efficiency initiatives to date have
24 primarily involved diesel plant lighting retrofits and variable frequency drives for
25 diesel engine radiator fans. Hydro has also converted one isolated community to
26 LED street lights, and plans to continue seeking opportunities to convert other
27

1 communities in the future. Table 2 illustrates the net benefits of Hydro's internal
2 energy efficiency initiatives.

3
4 **Table 2 Hydro's Internal Energy Efficiency Initiatives – Rural Isolated Systems**

| | Annual Energy Savings (MWh) | NPV of Energy Savings (\$) | Annual Initiative Costs (\$) | NPV Benefits (\$) |
|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| 2015 | 75 | 223,758 | 32,391 | 191,367 |
| 2016 | 163 | 504,370 | 78,869 | 425,501 |
| 2017F | 98 | 318,045 | 157,906 | 160,139 |
| 2018F | 113 | 372,798 | 223,565 | 149,233 |
| 2019F | 92 | 291,289 | 174,684 | 116,605 |

5 Nain LED Street Light Retrofit

6 In 2015, Hydro pursued a pilot LED street light replacement project for the Town of
7 Nain. A total of 125 high pressure sodium (HPS) street light fixtures were replaced
8 with LED street light fixtures. Given the location and climate, Nain was chosen as a
9 location to test the performance of LED street lights. The initiative was also bundled
10 with existing distribution work scheduled for the community, as such the estimated
11 incremental cost for the LED street light project was \$26,000. The LED street lights
12 installed in Nain use 45,000 kWh less electricity annually compared to the previous
13 HPS fixtures, which is equivalent to \$13,500 of diesel fuel savings annually. LED
14 streetlights are also expected to require less maintenance compared to HPS street
15 lights due to reduced relamping requirements. Hydro plans to continue to convert
16 street lights to LED in isolated communities.