

1 Q. Reference: *Additions for Load Growth – New Distribution Feeder*,  
2 Page 18, Lines 3-6.

3

4 *“While the requirement for this work is mainly driven by load additions from two*  
5 *customers, the upgrades will ensure that Hydro’s service to existing customers on*  
6 *feeder BW1 remains within its planning criteria and avoids voltage unbalances. As*  
7 *such, Hydro did not seek contributions in aid of construction from the customers.”*

8

9 Please provide a calculation showing the contribution amount that would be  
10 required from the customers if a customer contribution were required to be  
11 calculated in accordance with Hydro’s *Contribution In Aid of Construction Policy*.

12

13

14 A. The Contribution in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Policy approved by the Board does  
15 not require a CIAC from the customers that are initiating the load growth that  
16 required Hydro to file the Application to construct a new feeder at Bottom Waters  
17 Terminal Station. Please see response the CA-NLH-003(a).

18

19 If a different CIAC Policy were in effect than is currently approved, there may be a  
20 contribution required from the customers; however, Hydro does not know what a  
21 revised CIAC Policy would require. Therefore, Hydro cannot calculate a contribution  
22 other than that required under the current CIAC Policy.