- 1 Q. Page 28-30: Is Dr. Cleary of the opinion that all the companies listed in
- 2 Tables 9 and 10 are directly comparable to Newfoundland Power in the
- 3 consideration of its overall risks, it's appropriate return and its capital structure? In
- 4 the response describe any factors that are materially different between each
- 5 company listed and Newfoundland Power.

6

- 7 A. Dr. Cleary provided the information in Tables 9 and 10 more as a summary of
- 8 allowed ROEs and ERs for utilities across all Canadian jurisdictions, rather than because
- 9 he believed all of the utilities listed in those tables were directly comparable to NP in
- terms of their business models, or in the sense of determining an allowable ROE or ER.

11

- 12 Dr. Cleary has provided two lists below, dividing the utilities listed in Tables 9 and 10
- into two separate groups (in the order in which they appear in Tables 9 and 10), along
- with the main reasons for their classification:
- 15 (1) those which he does not believe would represent good comparators; and,
- 16 (2) those that he would consider reasonable, but not necessarily ideal comparators
- due to various differences from NP (such as exposure to generation).

18

- 19 (1) Poor comparators:
- 20 1. EPCOR 100% owned by City of Edmonton; involved in water and waste-water
- 21 treatment facilities; expansions into Arizona and New Mexico.
- 22 2. ENMAX 100% owned by City of Calgary; exposure to generation assets.
- 23 3. Hydro-Quebec Distribution owned by the Government of Quebec.
- 4. Maritime Electric much smaller than NP with only \$400 million in assets, 78,000
- 25 customers; no public borrowing or debt ratings.
- 26 5. Ontario's Electric Distributors the largest two (Hydro One and Toronto Hydro) are
- both government owned with the Ontario government remaining Hydro One's majority
- shareholder despite the IPO in the fall of 2015.

- 6. and 7. Saskatchewan Power Corp. and SaskEnergy Inc. Saskatchewan Crown
- 2 corporations.
- 8. AltaGas Utilities Inc. small distributor with about \$10 million in net income in 2014,
- 4 and no public borrowing or debt ratings.

5

- 6 (2) Reasonable comparators:
- 7 1. ATCO Electric 100% owned by CU Inc., electricity, involved in both distribution
- 8 and transmission, significant capex in transmission over the last three years (total \$6.4
- 9 billion).
- 10 2. ATCO Gas 100% owned by CU Inc., gas, primarily distribution.
- 3. Fortis Alberta Inc. electricity distribution; 530,000 customers 60% of Alberta
- distribution grid; 2014 net income before extraordinary items of \$269 million.
- 4. FortisBC Inc. \$45 million in net income in 2014; fully integrated electric utility
- 14 involved in generation and transmission, in addition to distribution regulated rate base
- in 2014 44% distribution, 32% transmission, 15% generation, and 9% other.
- 16 5. Nova Scotia Power Inc. \$133 million in net income in 2014; fully integrated electric
- 17 utility involved in generation and transmission, in addition to distribution generated
- 18 close to 90% of electricity sold in 2014.
- 19 6. Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. subsidiary of Enbridge Inc.; natural gas primarily
- 20 distribution; \$217 million in net income before extraordinary items in 2013; most debt is
- 21 external \$3,192 million versus \$375 million inter-company debt.
- 22 7. Fortis BC Energy Inc. gas; primarily distributor; \$127 million in earnings in 2014.
- 23 8. Gaz Metro Limited Partnership primarily gas; primarily distribution with 87.5% of
- 24 2015 net income from distribution versus 8.5% for transmission; 2.7% for generation and
- 25 1.2% for other; 28.6% (out of the 87.5% of 2015 net income from distribution) comes
- 26 from Vermont gas and electricity distribution, with the other 58.6% coming from gas
- 27 distribution in Quebec.

- 9. Union Gas Limited gas; subsidiary of Spectra Energy; 2015 net income available to
- 2 common shareholders of \$185 million; also involved in storage and pipelines, but
- 3 distribution revenue is close to 90%.