Q. Further to PUB-CA-019 please discuss the likely impact of such a Board
decision on DBRS's and Moody's view of the supportive regulatory environment in
Newfoundland and Labrador.

4

5 A. The response to PUB-CA-019 elaborates on the reasons why such a Board 6 decision would not change Dr. Cleary's view of NP's regulatory environment, and that he 7 would still view it as being extremely supportive.

8

9 Of course debt rating agencies provide reports for the benefit of creditors, who would 10 always prefer to see higher ROEs and ERs. However, the Board also has the difficult task 11 of balancing creditor needs and "wants" with the best interests of the consumers, who 12 ultimately bear any additional costs.

13

Reducing the ER to 40 percent would merely bring NP "in line" with other Canadian 14 utilities; although it would still be slightly above average, and therefore on the 15 16 conservative side. NP's metrics would remain solid and in line to maintain their existing credit ratings, so Dr. Cleary does not think that the rating agencies would change their 17 long-term view of the Board based on such an adjustment. Rather, Dr. Cleary believes 18 that it would be viewed as a reaction to the existing environment in the normal course of 19 reviewing allowable ROEs and ERs in response to all evidence submitted, which is the 20 21 purpose for having such hearings.

22

Similarly, rating agencies are no doubt cognizant of the fact that allowed ROEs by regulators have been declining in recent decisions in reaction to low interest rates and the resulting low borrowing rates by utilities, which have lowered their cost of capital. Therefore, it is not clear that making such adjustments would cause rating agencies to change their long-term view of NP's regulatory environment as being extremely supportive. Dr. Cleary's analysis of NP's credit metrics under various ROE scenarios

- 1 reinforces this opinion, since it indicates that NP's credit metrics would remain solid if
- 2 allowed ROEs were reduced.
- 3
- 4 Allowed ROEs and ERs are important; however, they do not represent the whole picture,
- 5 since the regulatory track record, including the factors Dr. Cleary referred to in his
- 6 response to PUB-CA-019 are critically important.