1	Q.	Refer	ence: CA-NP-120	
2				
3		Mr. Coyne states that he was provided with the Company's business risk assessment		
4		beforehand, but that he does not believe there are any substantive differences		
5		between his assessment and that of the Company.		
6				
7		a)	Does this mean that Mr. Coyne confirmed NP's assessment or that any	
8			differences were discussed and resolved?	
9		b)	Is Mr. Coyne aware of any changes in NP's assessment of its business risk as	
10			a result of his interaction with them and the production of his own draft	
11			evidence? If so can he point to areas of disagreement between himself and NP	
12			that were resolved?	
13		,		
14	A.	a)	The purpose of Mr. Coyne's risk analysis presented in Appendix A of	
15			Concentric's report is to compare the risk of Newfoundland Power today to the	
16 17			circumstances at the time of the Company's last GRA filing in September 2012,	
18			and to compare the risk of Newfoundland Power to other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S. In the preparation of Concentric's report, Mr.	
19			Coyne performed his own independent research and assessment of Newfoundland	
20			Power's business and financial risk, as well as reviewing the Company's draft risk	
21			evidence.	
22			evidence.	
23		b)	No, Mr. Coyne is not aware of any changes in Newfoundland Power's assessment	
24		0)	of its business risk as a result of discussions between himself and the Company.	