Page 1 of 2

- 1Q.The Board has not yet (i) determined NP's allowed return on equity for 2016; (ii)2determined the equity component to be reflected in NP's capital structure for 2016;3(iii) determined the depreciation rates to apply in determining 2016 depreciation4costs; or (iv) tested the reasonableness of NP's load or cost forecasts. Please provide5the advantages and disadvantages to NP of approving the proposed 2016 cost6recovery deferral on a final basis vs. an interim basis.
- 8 A.

A. Interim Approval

Section 75 of the *Public Utilities Act* (the "Act") provides for interim orders governing rates. However, there is no provision in the Act which governs the approval of cost recovery deferrals on an interim basis. Newfoundland Power is uncertain as to how a cost recovery deferral on an interim basis would practically work and still be consistent with the prospective nature of utility regulation in the province.

The impact on Newfoundland Power's 2016 financial results of approval of deferred recovery on an *interim* basis is that such an approval would not provide Newfoundland Power with a reasonable opportunity to earn a just and reasonable return for 2016 within the meaning of Section 80 of the Act. In the words of the Board, such an approach would not be consistent with "…regulatory practice and in the interest of a predictable and fair regulatory framework that accepts the necessity and practicality of prospective regulation…".¹

There is a lack of regulatory certainty and predictability associated with any *interim* approval of the 2016 cost recovery deferral. This, together with the inconsistency of such an approval with prospective regulation, makes such an approach to the current circumstances unacceptable.

B. Final Approval

The Application requests approval of deferred cost recovery of approximately \$4 million in 2016 costs on a *final* basis. This will permit the development of customer rates for the Island interconnected system on an orderly basis.

Items (i) through (iv) set out in the question are all matters that will be considered by the
Board in Newfoundland Power's next general rate application ("GRA").

For information regarding instances in which the Board has allowed for deferred cost
 recovery and thereby deferred a Newfoundland Power GRA for 1 year, refer to the
 response to Request for Information PUB-NP-001.

¹ See Order No. P.U. 39 (2006), page 7, lines 1-2.

Requests for Information

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8 9

Page 2 of 2

C. Advantages and Disadvantages of Final Approval

The advantages to Newfoundland Power and its customers of the Board's approving the proposed 2016 cost recovery deferral on a final basis are that it will permit the orderly establishment of rates to be charged to customers in a manner that is consistent with: (i) prospective ratemaking²; (ii) the principle of intergenerational equity as applied by the Board³; (iii) reduced overall regulatory lag and delayed cost recovery⁴; (iv) overall regulatory cost efficiency⁵; and (v) past regulatory practice.⁶

10In Newfoundland Power's view, there are no disadvantages to Newfoundland Power or11its customers of the Board's approving the proposed 2016 cost recovery deferral on a12final basis as proposed in the Application. For this reason, the 2016 deferred cost13recovery proposed in the Application is the superior alternative to addressing the current14circumstances.

² Refer to the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-006, pages 2-3.

³ Refer to the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-006, pages 3-4.

⁴ Refer to the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-003, pages 1-2.

⁵ Refer to the responses to Request for Information PUB-NP-002, pages 2-4 and PUB-NP-003, page 2.

⁶ Refer to the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-001, pages 1-4.