

- 1 **Consumer Advocate RfI CA-FA-09**: What would the 2014 rate change indications be if the
- 2 complements of credibility were calculated in the same way as the 2013 filing?

3 FA Response to RfI CA-FA-09:

- 4 As per our response to CA-FA-05, the previous methodology can be considered as equivalent to
- 5 deriving the "projected loss ratio underlying current rates" we now use as the current "target loss ratio"
- 6 consistent with a 0.0% cost of capital, trended forward two years. The table at the top of the next page
- 7 provides the indication summary with the Exh C-2 correction and using this alternate complement of
- 8 credibility approach.
- 9 Indication Summary Consistent Exh C-2 Correction and using the alternate complement of credibility approach

Analysis Summary (NB eff 1-Aug-2014; RN eff 1-Aug-2014) Indication Summary		Third Party Liability	Accident Benefits	Uninsured Automobile	Collision	Comp	Specified Perils	All Perils	TOTAL
FA Average Written Premium, Rolling 12, \$s	Exh C-1, row [3]	1,889	40	7	1,242	291	126	1,879	2,079
Indicated target rate change (12.0% ROE, leverage 2.0)	Exh C-1, row [22]	74.5%	236.6%	248.3%	1.9%	23.7%	22.7%	9.2%	75.8%
AWP dollar change for Indicated target rate change (12.0% ROE, leverage 2.0 Exh C-1, row [24]		2,111	189	35	21	62	26	156	2,308
Indicated Average Written Premium		4,000	229	42	1,263	353	152	2,035	4,387
Rate change selected by FA	Exh C-1, row [33]	50.0%	294.3%	329.3%	-	-		-	54.1%
AWP dollar change for Rate change selected by FA	Exh C-1, row [35]	1,417	235	46	-	-	-	-	1,647
Selected Average Written Premium		3,306	275	53	1,242	291	126	1,879	3,726
Alternate rate change basis (0.0% Cost of Capital, leverage 2.0)	Exh C-1, row [29]	56.5%	201.9%	212.3%	(8.6%)	10.9%	10.0%	(2.1%)	57.7%
AWP dollar change for Alternate rate change basis (0.0% Cost of Capital, leve Exh C-1, row [31]		1,601	162	30	(96)	29		(36)	1,757
August Misister President & Alternate Patrice Assumption connect		2 400	202	27	4.446	220	427	4.043	2.026