

1 **Consumer Advocate RfI CA-FA-03**: *The trend analyses are based on separate regressions for*

2 frequency and severity and the selected trend rates are combined into a single loss cost trend rate. Can

3 FA provide a set of trend calculations that are based on loss cost data?

4 FA Response to RfI CA-FA-03:

5 Bodily Injury

6 For bodily injury, the period structure selected for frequency and severity were the same, and in both

7 cases, seasonality was not included as a parameter – the only difference in the data selection for

8 frequency and severity was that a data point was excluded in the severity model fit (period 2011-H2)

9 whereas there were no data exclusions for frequency. As a result, the fitted loss cost trend for the "past"

10 and future periods differs, depending on whether 2011-H2 is included (as per based on Frequency) or

11 excluded (as per based on Severity). Our selected trends from our frequency and severity models

12 produce a 4.4% loss cost trend, whereas a loss cost model on the frequency data periods fits a 5.6%

13 trend but a 4.2% trend where the severity data periods are used.

14 Bodily Injury Loss Cost Model – Based on Frequency Structure and Data Periods







Bodily Injury Loss Cost Model – Based on Severity Structure and Data Periods

18 Based on the statistics generated, the p-values suggest that the "trend" over the second period (i.e. 2004-

19 H2 to 2012-H2) is not statistically different from the "all years" trend. The results of removing this

20 parameter for each of the "data period" types is shown below, resulting in trends of 4.0% and 3.6%

21 respectively.

16



REGRESSION STATISTICS ANOVA # of Obs. # of Obs. Adjusted S.E. of Significance Multiple R R2 R2 Estimate n Excluded k df SS MS F 40 11 0.4937 0.3191 Regression Residual 0.1546 2.8281 0.0140 0.7027 0.2338 10 1.5464 29 1.5858 0.0547 0.3199 RESIDUALS RUNS RANDOM Runs-Test Result: Total 39 3.1322 C.I. 0.99 Selected Fitted Previous Selected Coefficients S.E. t-Stat Coeff. Selected Annual p-value Lower Upper Annual Intercept (73.401) 24.8066 (2.959)0.0061 (141.778) (5.025) (73.401) 11 selected = fitted 1.0000 10 Season past future All Years 0.0396 0.0124 3.1881 0.0034 0.0054 0.0738 0.0396 9 4.0% 2.4% 4.0% '12H2 => last period in "past' 0.0034 4.0% 2.4% 4.0% 0.1449 Scalar 1 (0.463)(3.195)(0.862) (0.064)(0.463) 8 Trend 1 1.0000 Scalar 2 1.0000 Trend 2 1.0000 Scalar 3 1.0000 Trend 3 1.0000 3 Scalar 4 Trend 4 1.0000 2 1.0000 Trends are Annual Actual and Fitted Loss Cost Actual and Selected Model Loss Cost 700.00 700.00 600.00 600.00 500.00 500.00 400.00 400.00 300.00 300.00 200.00 200.00 100.00 100.00 '93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1 '93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1

Bodily Injury Loss Cost Model – Based on Frequency Structure and Data Periods, with parameters adjusted based on p-values



REGRESSION STATISTICS ANOVA # of Obs Adjusted S.E. of # of Obs. Significance nle R R2 R2 Estimate Excluded SS MS F 30 3.0300 0.0100 0.7209 0.5197 0.3482 0.2217 Regression 10 1.4892 0.1489 Residual 1.3762 28 0.0491 Runs-Test Result: 0.8972 RESIDUALS RUNS RANDOM Total 38 2.8654 C.L 0.99 Selected Eitted Previous Selected Coefficients S.E. t-Stat Lower Coeff. Selected Annual p-value Upper Annual Intercept (65.470) 23.8414 (2.746)0.0104 (131.350) 0.4099 (65.470) 11 selected = fitted 1.0000 Season 10 All Years 0.0356 0.0119 2.9845 0.0058 0.0026 0.0686 0.0356 9 3.6% 2.4% 3.6% '12H2 => last period in "past" past 2.4% 3.6% 0.0028 3.6% future Scalar 1 (0.451)0.1375 (3.279)(0.831)(0.071)(0.451) 8 Trend 1 1.0000 Scalar 2 1.0000 Trend 2 1.0000 Scalar 3 1.0000 Trend 3 1.0000 Scalar 4 1.0000 Trend 4 1.0000 Trends are Annua Actual and Fitted Loss Cost Actual and Selected Model Loss Cost 700.00 700.00 600.00 600.00 500.00 500.00 400.00 400.00 300.00 300.00 200.00 200.00 100.00 100.00 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H2 H1 '93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1 '93 '94 H1 H2 '96 H1 From Valuation -Regress Fit From Valuation Selected Model

Bodily Injury Loss Cost Model – Based on Severity Structure and Data Periods, with parameters adjusted based on p-values

27

28 Property Damage

For property damage, the period structure selected for frequency and severity were the same, and in both 29 cases, seasonality was included as a parameter – the only difference in the data selection for frequency 30 and severity was that a data point was excluded in the frequency model fit (period 2004-H2) whereas 31 32 there were no data exclusions for severity. As a result, the fitted loss cost trend for the "past" and future periods differs, depending on whether 20-H2 is included (as per based on Frequency) or excluded (as 33 per based on Severity), prior to adjusting to remove parameters based on their p-values. Our selected 34 35 trends from our frequency and severity models produce a 2.4% loss cost trend, whereas a loss cost 36 model on the frequency data periods fits a 2.8% trend but a 3.2% trend where the severity data periods are used. However, the p-values with these periods using loss cost data indicate that seasonality is not 37 38 significant, that the trend 1, scalar 1, and all years trend are not significant, resulting in a 0.0% indicated

39 trend over the entire period. All four associated views are presented on the pages that follow.





Property Damage Loss Cost Model – Based on Frequency Structure and Data Periods

Property Damage Loss Cost Model – Based on Severity Structure and Data Periods



43

40

41 42

file: fa response to ca requests for info 2014 08 28 (final)



REGRESSION STATISTICS ANOVA S.E. of # of Obs. # of Obs. Adjusted Significance Multiple R R2 R2 Estimate n Excluded df SS MS F F 39 0.9570 0.2547 (0.011) 11 Regression Residual 0.3616 0.0362 0.5000 0.5047 0.1944 10 1 28 1.0579 0.0378 1.1508 RESIDUALS RUNS RANDOM Runs-Test Result: Total 38 1.4195 C.I. 0.99 Selected Fitted Previous Selected Coefficients S.E. t-Stat Coeff. Selected Annual p-value Lower Upper Annual 0.0311 4.4162 11 Intercept 4.4162 141.8848 0.0000 4.3302 4.5022 selected = fitted 1.0000 Season 10 past future All Years 1.0000 9 0.0% 3.8% 0.0% '12H2 => last period in "past" 0.0% 1.9% 0.0% 1.0000 Scalar 1 8 Trend 1 1.0000 Scalar 2 1.0000 6 Trend 2 1.0000 Scalar 3 1.0000 4 Trend 3 1.0000 3 Scalar 4 Trend 4 1.0000 2 1.0000 Trends are Annual Actual and Fitted Loss Cost Actual and Selected Model Loss Cost 140.00 140.00 120.00 120.00 100.00 100.00 80.00 80.00 60.00 60.00 40.00 40.00 20.00 20.00 '93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1 '93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1

From Valuation —— Regress Fit

44 Property Damage Loss Cost Model – Based on Frequency Structure and Data Periods, with 45 parameters adjusted based on p-values





47 Property Damage Loss Cost Model – Based on Severity Structure and Data Periods, with 48 parameters adjusted based on p-values

50 Accident Benefits

49

51 For accident benefits, the period structure selected for frequency and severity were the same, and in both

cases, seasonality was not as a parameter. There were no data exclusions. The fitted loss cost trend
 using these periods is the same 7.6% as determined via fitting frequency and severity separately. The

54 loss cost fit results are shown on the next page.





Accident Benefits Loss Cost Model – Based on Original Selected Structure and Data Periods

57 Uninsured Automobile

For uninsured automobile, the frequency and severity model structure was taken from the Accident
Benefits structure. We have assumed that the loss cost fit is not necessary.

60 Collision

56

55

61 For collision, the period structure selected for frequency and severity were the same, and in both cases,

62 seasonality was not as a parameter. There were no data exclusions. The fitted loss cost trend using the

63 "past" and "future" periods are the same 0.1% as determined via fitting frequency and severity

64 separately. The loss cost fit results are shown on the next page. However, the p-values fall out of our

65 general range and adjusting parameter selections based on this result in a trend of 2.4%. The results are

66 shown on the pages that follow.



			Adjusted	S.E. of	# of Obs.	# of Obs.	
	Multiple R	R2	R2	Estimate	n	Excluded	k
	0.6431	0.4136	0.2041	0.2316	39	1	
						-	
	Runs-Test Result:		1.1514 RESIDUALS R		UNS RANDOM		
					C.I.	0.99	Selected
	Coefficients	S.E.	t-Stat	p-value	Lower	Upper	Coeff.
	1	2					
Intercept	(65.238)	29.1043	(2.242)	0.0331	(145.661)	15.1853	(65.238)
Season	-	-		1.0000			
All Years	0.0350	0.0146	2.4038	0.0231	(0.005)	0.0752	0.0350
Scalar 1	67.6614	58.2601	1.1614	0.2553	(93.327)	228.6493	67.6614
Trend 1	(0.034)	0.0290	(1.162)	0.2550	(0.114)	0.0465	(0.034)
Scalar 2	-	-		1.0000			
Trend 2	-	-		1.0000	-		
Scalar 3	-	-		1.0000			
Trend 3	-	-		1.0000	-		
Scalar 4	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-	-
Trend 4	-	-		1.0000	-	-	
Frends are	Annuai		Actual a	nd Fitted Los	is Cost		
	300.00						
	250.00						
	200.00				_A_		
	150.00	~	A_{\sim}	A		\sim	
	100.00	\sim		VV I	\vee	•	
	50.00	·					
		- loc /	loo loo lo		las las las	144 140 11	

Collision Loss Cost Model – Based on Original Selected Structure and Data Periods









Collision Loss Cost Model – Based on **Original Selected** Structure and Data Periods, with parameters adjusted based on p-values

72 Comprehensive

71

69

70

73 For comprehensive, the period structure selected for frequency and severity were the same, and in both

cases, seasonality was not as a parameter. In both cases, 1993-H1 to 1994-H1 inclusive were excluded.
The fitted loss cost trend using the "past" and "future" periods are the same 5.1% as determined via

76 fitting frequency and severity separately. The loss cost fit results are shown on the next page. However,

the p-values fall out of our general range and adjusting parameter selections based on this result in a trend of 2.4%. The results are shown on the pages that follow.



80.00

60.00 40.00 20.00

	REGRESSION STATISTICS							
			Adjusted	S.E. of	# of Obs.	# of Obs.		
	Multiple R	R2	R2	Estimate	n	Excluded	k	
	0.6725	0.4522	0.2416	0.3038	37	3	11	
	Runs-1	fest Result:	1.5618	RESIDUALS RUNS RANDOM				
					с.і.	0.99	Selected	
	Coefficients	S.E.	t-Stat	p-value	Lower	Upper	Coeff.	
	1	2						
Intercept	(142.821)	65.8478	(2.169)	0.0394	(325.794)	40.1510	(142.821)	
Season	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-	-	
All Years	0.0735	0.0329	2.2320	0.0344	(0.018)	0.1651	0.0735	
Scalar 1	47.8442	79.1724	0.6043	0.5509	(172.153)	267.8418	47.8442	
Trend 1	(0.024)	0.0396	(0.608)	0.5488	(0.134)	0.0859	(0.024)	
Scalar 2	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-		
Trend 2	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-		
Scalar 3		-		1.0000	-	-	-	
Trend 3	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-		
Scalar 4	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-	-	
Trend 4	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-		
Trends are a	Annual							
			Actual a	nd Fitted Los	s Cost			
	160.00 140.00							
	120.00		٨	۰.۸	Λ	Ar		

'93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1

Comprehensive Loss Cost Model – Based on Original Selected Structure and Data Periods



ANOVA

MS

0.1981

0.0923

Selected

Annual

5.1%

5.1%

SS

1.9808

2.3992

4.3800

Previous

Selected

selected = fitted

3.9%

df

Fitted

Annual

5.1% 5.1%

10

26

36

Regression

past future

Residual

Total

Significance

F

0.0574

=> last period in "past"

2.1466

'12H2





81 Comprehensive Loss Cost Model – Based on Original Selected Structure and Data Periods, with 82 parameters adjusted based on p-values

83

84 Specified Perils

For specified perils, the frequency and severity model structure was taken from the Comprehensive structure. We have assumed that the loss cost fit is not necessary.

87 All Perils

88 For all perils, the period structure selected for frequency and severity were the same, and in both cases,

seasonality was not as a parameter. There were no data exclusions. The fitted loss cost trend using the

90 "past" and "future" periods are the same 1.8% as determined via fitting frequency and severity

separately. The loss cost fit results are shown on the next page. However, the p-values fall out of our

92 general range and adjusting parameter selections based on this result in a trend of 0.0%, and seasonality

93 became significant. The results are shown on the pages that follow.



300.00

250.00

200.00

150.00 100.00

50.00

	REGRESSION STATISTICS						
			Adjusted	S.E. of	# of Obs.	# of Obs.	
	Multiple R	R2	R2	Estimate	n	Excluded	k
	0.6055	0.3666	0.1482	0.2714	40	-	11
	Runs-Test Result:		1.8084	RESIDUALS RUNS RANDOM			
	Coofficients		A Shad		C.I.	0.99	Selected
	1	2	t-Stat	p-value	Lower	opper	coen.
Intercept	38.6542	34.1068	1.1333	0.2664	(55.357)	132.6657	38.6542
Season	-		-	1.0000			
All Years	(0.017)	0.0171	(0.982)	0.3342	(0.064)	0.0303	(0.017)
Scalar 1	(70.238)	63.8560	(1.100)	0.2804	(246.249)	105.7741	(70.238)
Trend 1	0.0350	0.0318	1.1008	0.2800	(0.053)	0.1228	0.0350
Scalar 2	-	-	-	1.0000	-		1.1
Trend 2	-		-	1.0000	-		
Scalar 3	-		-	1.0000	-		
Trend 3	-		-	1.0000	-		1.1
Scalar 4	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-	
	-	-	-	1.0000	-	-	1.1
Trend 4							

93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1 ----From Valuation ----Regress Fit

All Perils Loss Cost Model – Based on Original Selected Structure and Data Periods



ANOVA

MS

Selected

Annual

1.8%

1.8%

0.1237

0.0737

SS

1.2367

2.1364

3.3731

Previous

Selected

selected = fitted

0.0%

df

Fitted

Annual

1.8% 1.8%

10

29

39

Regression

past future

Residual

Total

Significance

F

0.1340

=> last period in "past"

1.6788

'11H2



REGRESSION STATISTICS ANOVA # of Obs. S.E. of # of Obs. Adjusted Significance Multiple R R2 R2 Estimate n Excluded df SS MS F 40 0.4575 0.2704 11 Regression Residual 1.5431 0.1543 2.4452 0.0294 0.6764 0.2512 10 29 1.8301 0.0631 0.8220 RESIDUALS RUNS RANDOM Runs-Test Result: Total 39 3.3731 C.I. 0.99 Selected Fitted Previous Selected Coefficients S.E. t-Stat Coeff. Selected Annual p-value Lower Upper Annual 4.7979 11 Intercept 4.7979 0.1256 38.1989 0.0000 4.4517 5.1442 selected = fitted 0.2363 0.0794 2.9742 0.0059 0.0173 0.4552 0.2363 10 Season past future All Years 1.0000 9 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% '11H2 => last period in "past' 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Scalar 1 1.0000 8 Trend 1 1.0000 Scalar 2 1.0000 6 Trend 2 1.0000 Scalar 3 1.0000 4 Trend 3 1.0000 3 Scalar 4 Trend 4 1.0000 1.0000 Trends are Annual Actual and Fitted Loss Cost Actual and Selected Model Loss Cost 350.00 350.00 300.00 300.00 250.00 250.00 200.00 200.00 150.00 150.00 100.00 100.00 50.00 50.00 '93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1 '93 '94 '96 '97 '99 '00 '02 '03 '05 '06 '08 '09 '11 '12 '14 '15 '17 H1 H2 H1

-From Valuation ----- Regress Fit

All Perils Loss Cost Model – Based on **Original Selected** Structure and Data Periods, with parameters adjusted based on p-values

98