

- 1 Consumer Advocate RfI CA-FA-01: The loss ratios in Line [8] of Exh C-2. Page 1 appear to be
- 2 overstated. Can you confirm that the following is correct?

The line [2] value for TPL (for example) is 219.30%. It is labeled as "Projected ultimate loss ratio (discounted) ..." However, referring to column (17) of Exhibit B, Sheet 2.1 of the 2013 filling, 219.30% is undiscounted. It is prior to the application of the .9203 discount factor, which is applied in column (18).

If line [2] is undiscounted, then lines [4] and [6] are also undiscounted (see the calculations below). In line [8], line [6] is divided by the discount factor of .9203, thus "undiscounting" the already undiscounted loss ratio.

```
L = projected (undiscounted) incurred loss (L = 14,857,540)

CP = on-level earned premium prior to the 2013 rate increase (CP = 6,774,517)

NP = on-level earned premium after the indicated 2013 rate increase (NP = 19,054,007)

F = fixed expense (F/CP = 11.05%, F = 748,584)

V = variable expense ratio (V = 21.06%)

D = loss discount factor (D = .9203)

PDF = premium discount factor (PDF = .9975)

Line [2] = \frac{L}{cP} = 219.32%

Line [3] = \left[\frac{(L\times D)+F}{cP}\Big/(PDF-V)\right] - 1 = 181.3%

Line [4] = \frac{[2]}{(1+[3])} = \frac{L}{cP} \div \left[\frac{(L\times D)+F}{cP}\Big/(PDF-V)\right] = 78.0%

Line [4] = L \div \frac{(L\times D)+F}{(RDF-V)}
```

3 4

FA Response to RfI CA-FA-01:

- 5 In reviewing this inquiring, we agree that our original interpretation of the prior filing's projected on-
- 6 level loss ratio (LR) presented in the 2013 rate filing under column (17) as being on a discounted basis
- 7 was incorrect (please see a snapshot of the applicable exhibit at the top of the next page), and it is, in
- 8 fact presented on an undiscounted basis. Updating Exh C-2 to reflect this reduces the rate level
- 9 indications. For comparison purposes, we show the original indication summary and the updated
- indication summary on the next page in the two tables that follow the "snapshot" of the 2013 exhibit.



11 Excerpt from 2013 Rating Filing, Exhibit 9, Sheet 2.1

THIRD PARTY LIABILITY - TOTAL

Acc. Years 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 Total	Earned Premium (1) 1,188,581 1,299,283 1,389,115 1,431,239 1,466,299 6,774,517	On-Level Factor (2) 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Premium Adjust. (3) 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	On-Level Earned Premium (4) 1,188,581 1,299,283 1,389,115 1,431,239 1,466,299 6,774,517	Report Inc. Lo 1,905,3 2,039,2 2,321,7 2,675,2 2,058,8	055 Devel (5) (6) 303 0.9899 356 1.0711 702 1.1279 231 1.1380 311 1.4351	1.0000 1 1.0000 1 1.0000 1	GST Inc. (8)	(9) (10) 116 1.2440 .997 1.2101 .648 1.1775 .413 1.1480 .600 1.1135	Projected Inc. Loss (11) 2,345,084 2,644,065 3,083,458 3,494,986 3,289,947 14,857,540
Acc. Years 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 Total	Reported # Claims (12) 131 118 132 126 156	Counts Devel (13) 1.0000 1.0000 0.9979 0.9952 1.0566	SEAS (14) 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	GST (15) 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	Ultimate # Claims (16) 131 118 132 125 165	Projected On-Level LR (17) 197.30% 203.50% 221.97% 244.19% 224.37%	Rate Level Change (18) +154.5% +162.0% +184.5% +211.5% +187.4% +181.3%	Credibility (19)	Cred Wtd Rate Level Change (20)	
(11) = (16) = (17) = (18) = (19) = (20) =	= SQRT ((16 = ((19) x () 3) x (14)) LDF1 + FE}) / FCS1 w 18)1 + [1	x (15) / [PDF - here FCS= - (19)] x	VE - PR)] - 1 w 5,410 ultimate TR where TR = 1 date is 24/Jun/	number of cl vear's tren	.00%; FE= 11.059 aims nd = 3.65%;		, LDF= 0.9203, a		

Indication Summary Consistent with Original Filing

Analysis Summary (NB eff 1-Aug-2014; RN eff 1-Aug-2014)			Accident Benefits	Uninsured Automobile	Underinsured Motorist	Collision	Comp	Specified Perils	All Perils	TOTAL
Indication Summary										
FA Average Written Premium, Rolling 12, Ss	Exh C-1, row [3]	1,889	40	7	-	1,242	291	126	1,879	2,079
Indicated target rate change (12.0% ROE, leverage 2.0)	Exh C-1, row [22]	95.6%	354.6%	394.9%	-	(10.8%)	10.2%	22.2%	(3.8%)	98.1%
AWP dollar change for Indicated target rate change (12.0% ROE, leverage 2.	0 Exh C-1, row [24]	2,709	284	55	-	(121)	27	25	(64)	2,987
Indicated Average Written Premium		4,598	324	62	-	1,121	318	151	1,815	5,066
Rate change selected by FA	Exh C-1, row [34]	50.0%	294.3%	329.3%	-	-		-	-	54.1%
selected based on alternate investment yield assumption	2.8%) and assuming	0.0% cost of cap	oital; no change f	or physical dan	nage multiplier					
AWP dollar change for Rate change selected by FA	Exh C-1, row [36]	1,417	235	46				-		1,647
Selected Average Written Premium		3,306	275	53	-	1,242	291	126	1,879	3,726
Alternate rate change basis (0.0% Cost of Capital, leverage 2.0) Exh C-1, row [29]		75.4%	307.6%	343.8%		(20.0%)	(1.2%)	9.6%	(13.7%)	77.6%
AWP dollar change for Alternate rate change basis (0.0% Cost of Capital, leve Exh C-1, row [31]		2,137	246	48	-	(224)	(3)	11	(232)	2,363
Average Written Premium @ Alternate Return Assumption capped			286	55	-	1,018	288	137	1,647	4,442

Indication Summary Consistent Exh C-2 Correction

Analysis Summary (NB eff 1-Aug-2014; RN eff 1-Aug-2014) Indication Summary			Accident Benefits	Uninsured Automobile	Collision	Comp	Specified Perils	All Perils	TOTAL
FA Average Written Premium, Rolling 12, \$s	Exh C-1, row [3]	1,889	40	7	1,242	291	126	1,879	2,079
Indicated target rate change (12.0% ROE, leverage 2.0)	Exh C-1, row [22]	89.2%	339.5%	369.5%	(10.8%)	10.2%	22.2%	(3.8%)	91.7%
AWP dollar change for Indicated target rate change (12.0% ROE, leverage	2.0 Exh C-1, row [24]	2,528	272	52	(121)	27	25	(64)	2,792
Indicated Average Written Premium		4,417	312	59	1,121	318	151	1,815	4,871
Rate change selected by FA	Exh C-1, row [33]	50.0%	294.3%	329.3%	-	-	-	-	54.1%
AWP dollar change for Rate change selected by FA	Exh C-1, row [35]	1,417	235	46	-	-	-	-	1,647
Selected Average Written Premium		3,306	275	53	1,242	291	126	1,879	3,726
Alternate rate change basis (0.0% Cost of Capital, leverage 2.0)	Exh C-1, row [29]	69.7%	294.1%	321.0%	(20.0%)	(1.2%)	9.6%	(13.7%)	71.9%
AWP dollar change for Alternate rate change basis (0.0% Cost of Capital, leve Exh C-1, row [31]			235	45	(224)	(3)	11	(232)	2,189
Average Written Premium @ Alternate Return Assumption capped			275	52	1,018	288	137	1,647	4,268

16

1213

14

15

file: fa response to ca requests for info 2014 08 28 (final)