

## 20141107 f:

Transcript page115, lines 1 to 8 Ms . Glynn "And if -- this is again just a slight change from last year's filing, which we'd like you to explain. If we go to page 14, if we go down just a little bit further, yes, uninsured automobile. To me, when I look at this, I think that the uninsured automobiles rates are based off accident benefit rates. Would that be -" Lines 13 to 15, Ms. Glynn "Okay. And last year, again last year we think they were used off third party liability. We could bring you to Exhibit 4.." Page 116, lines 14 to 15, Ms. Glynn "Can we have an undertaking to get that rate indication change." Undertaking is to provide the UA indication if we were to use the BI trends rather than the AccBen(indivis) trends as the basis.

## FA Response:

The table below compares the UA and Total rate change proposed by FA management with the original filing indication (at 0 cost of capital and $1.14 \%$ RoI):

| As Originally Filed | UA | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| current average on-level premium (\$s) |  | 14 | 3,045 |
| rate chg proposed by FA |  | $329.3 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ |
|  | average premium | 60 | 4,694 |
| 0 CoC rate indication | $343.8 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ |  |
|  | average premium | 62 | 5,408 |
| 0 CoC rate indication, UA trend @ BI level | $308.5 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ |  |
|  | average premium | 57 | 5,405 |

The table below compares the UA and Total rate change proposed by FA management with the indication (at 0 cost of capital and $1.14 \% \mathrm{RoI}$ ) after correcting the Exh C-2 error (see CA-FA-01):

| Based on Corrected Exh C-2 | UA | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| current average on-level premium (\$s) |  | 14 | 3,045 |
| rate chg proposed by FA | $329.3 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ |  |
|  | average premium | 60 | 4,694 |
| 0 CoC rate indication | $321.0 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ |  |
|  | average premium | 59 | 5.234 |
| 0 CoC rate indication, UA trend @ BI level | $286.3 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ |  |
|  | average premium | 54 | 5,231 |

