11P	111 10, 2007	WIUIU-	ı ağt	racinty Assoc. 2007 Rate Application
		Page 1		Page 2
1	10:00 A.M.		1	also introduce Bruce Moss. Bruce works with
2	CHAIRMAN:		2	Discoveries Unlimited, who will be handling
3	Q. Thank you, and good morning, everybody, and		3	the transcription of the pre-hearing
4	welcome to this, the pre-hearing conference		4	conference and the hearing throughout its
5	for the Rate Revision application from the		5	course, as well. The purpose of this pre-
6	Facility Association. My name is Robert		6	hearing conference this morning is indeed to
7	Noseworthy, I'm Chair and CEO of the Public		7	assist with the organization and planning for
8	Utilities Board. And to my left is Darlene		8	the public hearing of Facility Associations'
9	Whalen, she's the Vice-Chair of the Board.		9	rate revision application and to set the rules
10	And both of us, seeing we are the only two	1	10	of procedure schedule of dates for the hearing
11	commissions of the Board at the present time,	1	11	and other matters with regard to intervenors
12	we'll be the Panel for this particular hearing	1	12	and what have you, as well. So without any
13	throughout its course. I'd also like to	1	13	further ado, I'll call upon our Board counsel,
14	introduce to my left, near left, I guess, Ms.	1	14	Ms. Dwanda Newman, to enter the matter and
15	Cheryl Blundon. Cheryl is the Director of	1	15	confirm the issuance of appropriate public
16	Corporate Services and the Board secretary.	1	16	notices and also any notices of the
17	Sitting next to Cheryl is Dwanda Newman, who'	s 1	17	interventions, as well. Good morning, Ms.
18	our Board counsel. And in the back of the	1	18	Newman.
19	room, Bob Byrne, who's our Director of	1	19 MS	S. NEWMAN:
20	Regulatory Services, and Mike McNiven, who is	2	20	Q. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair. I can
21	our IT officer and who will be assisting us		21	confirm that on March 20th the Board of
22	with the electronic support for the hearing	2	22	Commissioners of Public Utilities of
23	once, indeed, it commences. And also, we	2	23	Newfoundland received an application from
24	seem, the staff certainly seem to overwhelm	2	24	Facility Association seeking, among other
25	everybody else here this morning. I would	2	25	things, to vary the rates charged to private
		Page 3		Page 4
1	passenger and selected commercial and		1 WI	HALEN, Q.C.:
2	miscellaneous automobile insurance polic			Q. Norman Whalen representing Facility
3	holders insured through Facility. Notice of	^	3	Association, Mr. Chair and Vice-Chair. And we
4	this application was duly published in severa	.1	4	certainly consent to that.
5	newspapers throughout the province beginn		5 CH	AIRMAN:
6	on April 2nd and the newspapers that it was	_		Q. Thank you, sir.
7	published in included the Evening Telegrar	I		S. NEWMAN:
8	the Western Star, the Compass, the Georgia			Q. And there were no other intervenor submissions
9	the Pilot, the Nor'wester, the Coaster, the	,	9	received by the Board and no letters of
10	Southern Gazette and the Gulf News. In	1	10	comment received to date.
11	response to this application the Board did			IAIRMAN:
12	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Q. Thank you. I'll just ask nowgood morning,
	receive notice of intent to intervene from	1.1	12	
13	receive notice of intent to intervene from Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. It			
13 14	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In	the 1	13	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again.
14	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair,	the 1	13 14 WI	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.:
14 15	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement	the 1 In the	13 14 WI 15	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman.
14 15 16	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and	n the 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13 14 WI 15 16 CH	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN:
14 15 16 17	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and proceed to confirm Tom Johnson as intervenor	the I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	13 14 WI 15 16 CH 17	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN: Q. If you'd just care to introduce yourself and
14 15 16 17 18	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and proceed to confirm Tom Johnson as intervenin thisas Consumer Advocate as intervenor	n the I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	13 14 WI 15 16 CH 17	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN: Q. If you'd just care to introduce yourself and who you represent here?
14 15 16 17 18 19	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and proceed to confirm Tom Johnson as intervening thisas Consumer Advocate as intervenor this proceeding.	n the	13 14 WH 15 16 CH 17 18	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN: Q. If you'd just care to introduce yourself and who you represent here? HALEN, Q.C.:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and proceed to confirm Tom Johnson as intervening thisas Consumer Advocate as intervenor this proceeding. CHAIRMAN:	n the	13 14 WF 15 16 CH 17 18 19 WF	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN: Q. If you'd just care to introduce yourself and who you represent here? HALEN, Q.C.: Q. My name is Norman Whalen and I represent
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and proceed to confirm Tom Johnson as intervening thisas Consumer Advocate as intervenor this proceeding. CHAIRMAN: Q. Are you in agreement -	n the	13 14 WH 15 16 CH 17 18 19 WH 20	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN: Q. If you'd just care to introduce yourself and who you represent here? HALEN, Q.C.: Q. My name is Norman Whalen and I represent Facilities Association in this matter.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and proceed to confirm Tom Johnson as intervening thisas Consumer Advocate as intervenor this proceeding. CHAIRMAN: Q. Are you in agreement - WHALEN, Q.C.:	n the	13 14 WH 15 16 CH 17 18 19 WH 20 21	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN: Q. If you'd just care to introduce yourself and who you represent here? HALEN, Q.C.: Q. My name is Norman Whalen and I represent Facilities Association in this matter. HAIRMAN:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and proceed to confirm Tom Johnson as intervening this-as Consumer Advocate as intervenor this proceeding. CHAIRMAN: Q. Are you in agreement - WHALEN, Q.C.: Q. We consent to that.	n the	13 14 WH 15 16 CH 17 18 19 WH 20 21	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN: Q. If you'd just care to introduce yourself and who you represent here? HALEN, Q.C.: Q. My name is Norman Whalen and I represent Facilities Association in this matter. HAIRMAN: Q. Thank you, Mr. Whalen. Good morning, Mr.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thomas Johnson, as Consumer Advocate. In circumstances, Mr. Chairman, Vice-Chair, suggest that the Board waive the requirement for the filing of an intervenor submission and proceed to confirm Tom Johnson as intervening thisas Consumer Advocate as intervenor this proceeding. CHAIRMAN: Q. Are you in agreement - WHALEN, Q.C.:	n the	13 14 WH 15 16 CH 17 18 19 WH 20 21 22 CH 23 24	Mr. Whalen, good to see you again. HALEN, Q.C.: Q. Good to be back, Mr. Chairman. HAIRMAN: Q. If you'd just care to introduce yourself and who you represent here? HALEN, Q.C.: Q. My name is Norman Whalen and I represent Facilities Association in this matter. HAIRMAN:

	Tuest Tuest Tibbot. 2007 Rate Tippheation
Page 5	Page
1 Q. Good morning. Tom Johnson, Consumer Advocate.	1 appropriate time. So I just would wish to
2 I expect formal appointment soon, but I've	2 acknowledge Mr. Keough's presence this morning
3 been taking part in the pre-hearing conference	3 for the Board.
4 discussions.	4 CHAIRMAN:
5 CHAIRMAN:	5 Q. Welcome, Mr. Keough, and thank you for your
6 Q. Good to see you, Mr. Johnson. Must be having	6 interest in coming this morning. I don't
7 trouble with your hats these days, different	7 think there are any particular housekeeping
8 hats appearing before us on different	8 items. If there's anything we can do by way
9 occasions. Welcome to you both.	9 of support throughout the hearing for any of
10 MR. JOHNSON:	the parties, we'll certainly try and do that.
11 Q. Yeah, I remember you wereat the beginning of	11 It's only the matter of bringing it to the
the Hydro hearing you said it would be a break	attention of Ms. Blundon, our Board secretary.
to get away from insurance for awhile.	And I guess with regard to the electronic
14 CHAIRMAN:	support, we'll have that in place once the
15 Q. We're back into it again. Welcome. Are there	hearing begins. We'll be looking for the
any other parties present today who may wish	information to be filed electronically and
to give notice of their intention to intervene	we'll be dealing with any evidence in that way
in this hearing who haven't already done so?	as has become our custom in the past. And we
19 MR. JOHNSON:	will certainly be transcribing the hearing
20 Q. No, I would just note for the record the	20 during its entire course, as well. I'd call
presence of Mr. Mike Keough, with whom I've	21 upon, now, I think in the order of things I
had some discussions as of late. I expect	have, if Mr. Whalen, if you could just simply
that his group and other groups who have an	briefly introduce the application before we
interest in this application will be making	24 deal with some other matters, please?
25 their representations through me at the	25 WHALEN, Q.C.:
Page 7	
1 Q. Well, Mr. Chairman, yes, well the application,	1 Q. Thank you, Mr. Whalen. Ms. Newman, do you
2 as you've indicated, is for review. And for	2 have any particular comments? I guess there
1 a fine fine time to four this Dought contains	1 6% 1
the first time before this Board it contains	3 are a number of items. In any event, perhaps
4 an application for the cost of capital. And	4 you could just review for purposes of -
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN:
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a	 4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction	 4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in	 4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance.	 you could just review for purposes of - MS. NEWMAN: Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural items that we want to address. And one of them is the advance ruling on the cost of capital, the other is the schedule of dates
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and 11 the third is the rules of procedure. So as
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing	you could just review for purposes of - MS. NEWMAN: Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural items that we want to address. And one of them is the advance ruling on the cost of capital, the other is the schedule of dates for that and the remainder of the hearing and the third is the rules of procedure. So as Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost	you could just review for purposes of - MS. NEWMAN: Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural items that we want to address. And one of them is the advance ruling on the cost of capital, the other is the schedule of dates for that and the remainder of the hearing and the third is the rules of procedure. So as Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full application from Facility Association seeking
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and 11 the third is the rules of procedure. So as 12 Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full 13 application from Facility Association seeking 14 increased rates, many issues involved,
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and 11 the third is the rules of procedure. So as 12 Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full 13 application from Facility Association seeking 14 increased rates, many issues involved, 15 including technical, actuarial issues and an
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and 11 the third is the rules of procedure. So as 12 Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full 13 application from Facility Association seeking 14 increased rates, many issues involved, 15 including technical, actuarial issues and an 16 application for the inclusion of a cost of
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously impact the remainder of the filing as to how	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and 11 the third is the rules of procedure. So as 12 Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full 13 application from Facility Association seeking 14 increased rates, many issues involved, 15 including technical, actuarial issues and an 16 application for the inclusion of a cost of 17 capital in the rates of Facility Association.
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously impact the remainder of the filing as to how that should be dealt with. So perhaps Ms.	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and 11 the third is the rules of procedure. So as 12 Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full 13 application from Facility Association seeking 14 increased rates, many issues involved, 15 including technical, actuarial issues and an 16 application for the inclusion of a cost of 17 capital in the rates of Facility Association. 18 In this jurisdiction they had not previously
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously impact the remainder of the filing as to how that should be dealt with. So perhaps Ms. Newman, the Board counsel, could give more	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and 11 the third is the rules of procedure. So as 12 Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full 13 application from Facility Association seeking 14 increased rates, many issues involved, 15 including technical, actuarial issues and an 16 application for the inclusion of a cost of 17 capital in the rates of Facility Association. 18 In this jurisdiction they had not previously 19 had this provision; it would be new. Also, it
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously impact the remainder of the filing as to how that should be dealt with. So perhaps Ms. Newman, the Board counsel, could give more explanation of that idea. I think it waswe	4 you could just review for purposes of - 5 MS. NEWMAN: 6 Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural 7 items that we want to address. And one of 8 them is the advance ruling on the cost of 9 capital, the other is the schedule of dates 10 for that and the remainder of the hearing and 11 the third is the rules of procedure. So as 12 Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full 13 application from Facility Association seeking 14 increased rates, many issues involved, 15 including technical, actuarial issues and an 16 application for the inclusion of a cost of 17 capital in the rates of Facility Association. 18 In this jurisdiction they had not previously 19 had this provision; it would be new. Also, it 20 would, if it was allowed, require then,
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously impact the remainder of the filing as to how that should be dealt with. So perhaps Ms. Newman, the Board counsel, could give more explanation of that idea. I think it waswe may give her credit, I think it might have	you could just review for purposes of - MS. NEWMAN: Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural items that we want to address. And one of them is the advance ruling on the cost of capital, the other is the schedule of dates for that and the remainder of the hearing and the third is the rules of procedure. So as Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full application from Facility Association seeking increased rates, many issues involved, including technical, actuarial issues and an application for the inclusion of a cost of capital in the rates of Facility Association. In this jurisdiction they had not previously had this provision; it would be new. Also, it would, if it was allowed, require then, likely, testimony of cost of capital experts,
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously impact the remainder of the filing as to how that should be dealt with. So perhaps Ms. Newman, the Board counsel, could give more explanation of that idea. I think it waswe may give her credit, I think it might have been hers, but it was a brilliant idea,	you could just review for purposes of - MS. NEWMAN: Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural items that we want to address. And one of them is the advance ruling on the cost of capital, the other is the schedule of dates for that and the remainder of the hearing and the third is the rules of procedure. So as Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full application from Facility Association seeking increased rates, many issues involved, including technical, actuarial issues and an application for the inclusion of a cost of capital in the rates of Facility Association. In this jurisdiction they had not previously had this provision; it would be new. Also, it would, if it was allowed, require then, likely, testimony of cost of capital experts, which could be quite detailed and technical
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously impact the remainder of the filing as to how that should be dealt with. So perhaps Ms. Newman, the Board counsel, could give more explanation of that idea. I think it waswe may give her credit, I think it might have been hers, but it was a brilliant idea, whoever came up with it, and I don't take	you could just review for purposes of - MS. NEWMAN: Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural items that we want to address. And one of them is the advance ruling on the cost of capital, the other is the schedule of dates for that and the remainder of the hearing and the third is the rules of procedure. So as Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full application from Facility Association seeking increased rates, many issues involved, including technical, actuarial issues and an application for the inclusion of a cost of capital in the rates of Facility Association. In this jurisdiction they had not previously had this provision; it would be new. Also, it would, if it was allowed, require then, likely, testimony of cost of capital experts, which could be quite detailed and technical and take some time. So in the interests of an
an application for the cost of capital. And that is not unique, there have been other applications made in this regard, but it is a recent change coming about from the direction of the Board of Directors to make it more in line with the general principles of insurance. So in meetings with the Board counsel and with the Consumer Representative, we thought it might be appropriate to bifurcate the hearing and deal with just the issue of whether a cost of capital is appropriate in this area and depending on the ruling that the Board would make in that area, then it would obviously impact the remainder of the filing as to how that should be dealt with. So perhaps Ms. Newman, the Board counsel, could give more explanation of that idea. I think it waswe may give her credit, I think it might have been hers, but it was a brilliant idea,	you could just review for purposes of - MS. NEWMAN: Q. Yes, I can go through some of the procedural items that we want to address. And one of them is the advance ruling on the cost of capital, the other is the schedule of dates for that and the remainder of the hearing and the third is the rules of procedure. So as Mr. Whalen has indicated, this is a full application from Facility Association seeking increased rates, many issues involved, including technical, actuarial issues and an application for the inclusion of a cost of capital in the rates of Facility Association. In this jurisdiction they had not previously had this provision; it would be new. Also, it would, if it was allowed, require then, likely, testimony of cost of capital experts, which could be quite detailed and technical

Page 10

Ar	orii 18, 2007 Multi
	Page 9
1	particular issue to be heard in advance of all
2	the others. This will allow the Board and the
3	parties to assess what needs to follow in the
4	remainder of the issues, what evidence and
5	what process should be followed. So, I think
6	that what we've got here is a schedule of
7	dates only for that portion of the hearing
8	which isisn't the Facility Association
9	entitled to include in its rates a provision
10	for cost of capital or what we commonly call
11	rate of return. And if they are, then this
12	Board and the parties would move to have the
13	remaining issues considered, which would
14	more than the decountain issues with white
15	that level of that return should be. In that
16	inglie, i have encounated a semeatic of times
17	which I'd like to -
18	CHAIRMAN:
19	Q. Excuse me, Ms. Newman.
20	MS. NEWMAN:
21	Q. Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN:
23	Q. Mr. Johnson, would you have any comment on
24	that particular proposal before we move on?
25	MR. JOHNSON:
	Page 11

Q. Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I agreed very much with 2 that approach. It seemed to be sensible. And from what I understand from my friend, Mr. 3 Whalen, the cost of capital component in the 4 5 application is the main predominant driver of the rate indications flowing out of it. So, 6 you know, subject to further confirmation in 7 8 the RFI process, if you took that piece out, the rest of it, I expect, would have fallen 9 10 away anyhow. So I certainly agree that it's more efficient to deal with it in the fashion 11 12 that Board counsel has suggested and outlined to vou. 13 14 CHAIRMAN:

Q. It's a key component, I guess, a key part of 15 16 the rates application, so it seems to make some sense to deal with that and it appears to 17 be a primary component of it, as well, upfront 18 19 before we get into that, you know, the other aspects of it. It seems to me to be a cleaner 20 and more crisp way of doing it, quite likely. 21 22 So we'll consider that. Do you have any 23 comments, Ms. Whalen? Okay. Thank you. 24 MS. NEWMAN:

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I would briefly like to go through the

	Page
1	schedule of dates just to provide some
2	comment. I'll go through them, but just by
3	way of general comment, I would note that the
4	presentation of this issue is likely to be
5	made to the Board in June and there'll be some
6	process in advance that will go through the
7	details. This will be, as was mentioned, the
8	first time in this jurisdiction that this
9	issue would have been considered in detail by
10	the Board so we expect that there'll be some
11	advance work to be done by all the parties to
12	get ready, so June was thought to be the
13	earliest that we could bring this matter
14	forward. There is a scheduled or proposed
15	counsel meeting for May 3rd. This would allow
16	the parties to get together and assess that
17	things are moving on track and to sort out
18	what experts, if any, need to be brought
19	forward. The pre-filed evidence would then be
20	filed, pre-filed evidence and experts' reports
21	would be filed by Facility Association on May
22	16th; and requests for information on that
23	particular evidence would be filed May 23rd;
24	the answers to those question on May 30th; to
25	be followed with a counsel meeting on June

Page 12 1st. And then on June 6th there'd be a deadline for the remaining expert evidence or other evidence that's to be filed in the hearing, we would anticipate that the Consumer Advocate would make his filing of evidence at that time. On June 12th and 13th there is a tentative date set for oral testimony. It has certainly not been established yet whether oral testimony is necessary for this particular matter; that would be at the discretion of the Board, but we did reserve two dates in the event that it was necessary. I would expect that we would be able to have some consensus or positions of the party probably around the time frame of that counsel meeting in the beginning of June as to whether we will likely think as counsel that this matter should go to oral testimony and if so, that'll be brought to the Board. Written submissions on June 19th and then oral submissions, if the Board determines that they would be necessary or helpful in the circumstances, on June 21st. Thereafter the remaining issues of the application would be addressed, but not until after a decision on

April 18, 2007	Multi-Pa	ge TM Facility Assoc. 2007 Rate Application
I	Page 13	Page 14
1 the entitlement issue is given by the Board	1 (CHAIRMAN:
2 and their schedule and process for the	2	Q. Okay. Thank you.
3 remaining issues would be established by the	3 1	MS. NEWMAN:
4 Board shortly thereafter. So that's kind of	4	Q. I've also circulated rules of procedure for
5 the schedule of dates issue. I also have	5	this proceeding, and I propose they be adopted
6 circulated to the parties a copy of -	6	by the Board both for this early advance issue
7 CHAIRMAN:	7	and for the remaining issues as they're
8 Q. Just deal with one of these at a time, if you	8	determined by the Board in the future.
9 don't mind, Ms. Newman, please?	9 (CHAIRMAN:
10 MS. NEWMAN:	10	Q. Again, I guess these have been circulated in
11 Q. Sure.	11	advance. Would you have any comment, Mr.
12 CHAIRMAN:	12	Whalen?
Q. Mr. Whalen, would you have any comments on t	the 13 V	WHALEN, Q.C.:
14 schedule of dates?	14	Q. No comments. These are fine, Mr. Chair.
15 WHALEN, Q.C.:	15 (CHAIRMAN:
16 Q. No, Mr. Chair. I'm quite sure, I think this	16	Q. Mr. Johnson?
is an aggressive but a reachable time line	17 1	MR. JOHNSON:
where I've already had the pleasure of having	18	Q. Same here, Mr. Chairman.
this earlier and consulting with my clients	19 (CHAIRMAN:
20 and we're working towards meeting it as best	20	Q. Okay. Thank you. Any other items?
we can, and we think it can be met.		MS. NEWMAN:
22 CHAIRMAN:	22	Q. That's all the issues, I believe.
23 Q. Mr. Johnson?		CHAIRMAN:
24 MR. JOHNSON:	24	Q. Any other items to be raised here this
25 Q. Yeah, I share the same view.	25	morning?
	Page 15	Page 16
1 WHALEN, Q.C.:	1	CERTIFICATE
2 Q. No, that's fine. Thank you.	2	I, Doreen Marshall, do hereby certify that the
3 CHAIRMAN:	3	foregoing is a true and correct transcript of a
4 Q. Fine? Okay, thank you very much to you be		hearing heard on the 18th day of April, 2007 at the
5 Mr. Whalen, Mr. Johnson. And thank you,		offices of the Public Utilities Board, St. John's,
6 Keough, for your attendance and we look		Newfoundland and Labrador and was transcribed by me
7 forward to your participation throughout the		to the best of my ability by means of a sound
8 course of this, I guess, through the Consume		apparatus.
9 Advocate. Thank you, very much. So that		Dated at St. John's, NL
10 concludes the matter for today and it's	10	this 30th day of April, 2007
adjourned and I guess the Board will be	11	Doreen Marshall
issuing an order according to our proposals		Discoveries Unlimited Inc.
that have been forward to us on the matters		Discoverior committee inc.
that have been brought forward this mornin		
15 Thank you, very much.	۵.	
16 10:20 A.M.		
17 Adjourned.		
in rajourned.		