1 2	Q.	<u>GENERATION - HYDRO</u>
3		Rattling Brook Hydro Plant Refurbishment (Clustered) - \$18,242,000
4 5		PUB 7.0 NP
		A *1. 6
6		Aside from catastrophic loss, detail potential consequences of delaying the
7 8		replacement of the penstock, including the referenced operational difficulties and increasing maintenance, as well as any safety and environmental concerns, setting
9		out the probabilities associated with each.
10		out the probabilities associated with each.
11	A.	Deferring the Rattling Brook Refurbishment project beyond 2007 increases the
12		probability of both (i) plant failure in service and (ii) forced outage of the plant.
13		
14		The broad consequences of these events are set out in the Response to CA-31.0 NP.
15		
16		Operational difficulties are set out in the Response to CA-30.0 NP.
17		
18		Safety concerns are set out in the Response to PUB-3.0 NP.
19		Environmental concerns include the immests of release of large quantities of uncontrolled
20 21		Environmental concerns include the impacts of release of large quantities of uncontrolled
22		water (and related siltation effects) into the adjacent salmon river.
23		Finally, the potential costs of not having Rattling Brook plant available for service are se
24		out in 4.0 Opportunity Costs of Delay at page 3 of the Response to PUB-9.0 NP.
		out in the production of Develor at page 5 of the Response to 1 OB 7.0 1(1).