

1 **New Chelsea Hydro Plant Refurbishment, Schedule B, page 12-13 (\$3,973,000)**
2

3 **Q. Please provide the cost differential between a hydraulic governor vs. the electronic**
4 **governor solution proposed and the amount of oil expected to be reduced in the**
5 **system by using an electronic governor (see Volume 2, Energy Supply, Appendix 2,**
6 **page 4).**
7

8 A. On page 4 of Volume 2, Energy Supply, Appendix 2, Attachment A the two alternatives
9 identified were the upgrading of the existing Woodward hydraulic governor with an
10 electronic controller and the replacement of the Woodward governor with an all-electric
11 solution.
12

13 An electronic controller from GE Global Services (formerly Woodward) is \$30,000 US
14 and replacement of the oil reservoir and sump is approximately \$30,000 US. In addition
15 to these \$60,000 US direct costs from the manufacturer, there will be costs associated
16 with shipment, testing, and engineering support along with costs to refurbish the power
17 piston using a local machine shop.
18

19 Based upon pricing received in April 2003 for the Tors Cove governor replacement
20 project, an all-electric governor costs approximately \$70,000 US plus shipping, testing
21 and engineering support.
22

23 Therefore there is relatively little cost difference between the two technologies. The final
24 decision on governor technology will be based upon detailed engineering design and
25 plant specific requirements.
26

27 The existing Woodward gate shaft governor system contains 26 gallons of oil.