

1   **Q.    What actual emission levels would have to be achieved at a minimum in**  
2   **order for Hydro to deem the change to 1% sulphur fuel oil to be**  
3   **effective, and what specific period of time would be required to monitor**  
4   **the emission results in order to definitively conclude whether the**  
5   **switch to the 1% sulphur fuel oil meets the aforesaid effectiveness**  
6   **test?**

7

8

9   **A.**    This was partially answered by the previous response to PUB 9 NLH which  
10   stated in part:

11

12            “The Department of Environment and Conservation’s Guidance  
13            Document GD-PPD-009.2 *Determination of Compliance with the*  
14            *Ambient Air Quality Standards* specifies that where the maximum  
15            predicted ground-level concentration under all operating scenarios is  
16            below the associated ambient air quality standard for the given  
17            timeframe, the facility will be deemed to be compliant for that  
18            particular pollutant. Therefore all modeled scenarios for sulphur  
19            dioxide would be required to have resultant ground-level  
20            concentrations below 900 ug/m<sup>3</sup> for a one-hour period. The  
21            completed air dispersion modeling for the Holyrood Thermal  
22            Generating Station’s 2004 emissions indicated a maximum one-hour  
23            ground-level concentration of 3147 ug/m<sup>3</sup> for sulphur dioxide.

24

25            The Department of Environment and Conservation has specified that,  
26            in calculating volumetric emissions of sulphur dioxide from HTGS, a  
27            98% conversion of sulphur concentration in fuel to sulphur dioxide in  
28            emissions must be used. Assuming this relationship, then a reduction

1 in maximum sulphur content in the fuel burned from 2% to 1% would  
2 result in a near 50% reduction in the sulphur dioxide emission rate for  
3 any individual production rate. In air dispersion modeling algorithms  
4 the emission rate has a direct proportional affect on the resulting  
5 predicted ground level concentration. As the maximum ground level  
6 concentration predicted by the 2004 modeling would have to be  
7 reduced by 71% to achieve a level below 900 ug/m<sup>3</sup>, the sulphur  
8 content required to achieve a predicted maximum ground level  
9 concentration in compliance with the standard would have had to be  
10 as low as .6%. However, the frequency for which the 2004 modeled  
11 ground-level concentrations were predicted to exceed the government  
12 standard was very low, indicating that the combination of  
13 emission/production rates with meteorological conditions that result in  
14 such maximum ground-level concentrations may be relatively  
15 infrequent. Therefore, it may not be necessary to reduce the emission  
16 rate to the maximum projected if the infrequent meteorological  
17 condition is avoided. This will have to be tested by future modeling  
18 and monitoring of ground level concentrations over time.”

19  
20 The Department of Environment and Conservation’s Guidance Document  
21 GD-PPD-009.2 *Determination of Compliance with the Ambient Air Quality*  
22 *Standards* specifies that monitoring to determine compliance must occur  
23 over a 2-year period.