1	Q.	Describe the methodology used to determine the 2007 hydraulic production			
2		forecast and provide a forecast comparison on a plant by plant basis to the			
3		production forecast using the 30-year average method. (Regulated Activities			
4		Evidence, page 37 to 39)			
5		Evidence, page of to ocy			
6					
7	Α.	As directed by the Board in Order No. P.U. 14 (2004), Hydro developed its			
8		hydraulic production forecast using the full hydraulic record (1950 and			
9		onward), which incorporated the changes recommended by SGE Acres, and			
10		simulated annual average production using the integrated hydro-thermal			
11		simulation tool SYSSIM. The following monthly constraints and data were			
12		entered into the model:			
13		entered into the model.			
14		<ul> <li>2007 forecast system peak and energy load;</li> </ul>			
15		Average hydraulic unavailability due to forced outages and			
16		unplanned maintenance;			
17		<ul> <li>Minimum and maximum Holyrood production constraints to reflect</li> </ul>			
18		system security and plant maintenance requirements;			
19		<ul> <li>Average NUG (non-dispatchable) production;</li> </ul>			
20		<ul> <li>Reservoir rule curve data;</li> </ul>			
21		<ul> <li>Hydraulic unit efficiency, reservoir storage, and spillway rating</li> </ul>			
22		curves; and			
23		<ul> <li>Channel flow and environmental release constraints.</li> </ul>			
24					
25		Typical initial water levels were chosen, and the model was run to meet the			
26		forecast 2007 load for ten consecutive years. This was done to remove the			
27		influence of starting water levels on the average. The average stabilized			

after the first two to three years, after which the simulated average hydraulic production remained constant.

3

5

6 7

1

The following compares the production arising from the simulation approach to the 30-year production filed in the 2003 GRA. The former spreadsheet method and the 30-year hydrology approach have not been updated as a part of the 2006 GRA.

Average Annual Energy			gy (GWh)
Plant	2006 GRA	2003 GRA	Difference
Bay d'Espoir	2643.8	2657	-13.2
Cat Arm	659.3	733	-73.8
Upper Salmon	567.3	572	-4.7
Hinds Lake	338.3	352	-13.7
Granite Canal	220.1	224	-3.9
Paradise River	36.1	37	-0.9
Snook's Arm, Venam's Bight, Roddickton	7.1	7.2	-0.1
Total	4472.0	4582.2	-110.2