1	Q.	Provide the method used to confirm the reasonableness of the 2007
2		Hydraulic Production forecast estimates generated from the SYSSIM model?
3		(NP-35 NLH, Page 2, Line 5 to 7)
4		
5		
6	A.	The estimated hydraulic production was developed using SYSSIM. As noted
7		in IC 129 NLH, SYSSIM is an integrated hydro-thermal simulation tool that is
8		built around the Acres Reservoir Simulation Program (ARSP) hydraulic
9		simulation engine. ARSP has been used extensively by Hydro and other
10		groups for evaluation of hydraulic-production related issues. SYSSIM adds
11		constraints and load limitations to the problem formulation, and calls ARSP
12		as a module to simulate the hydraulic portion of the problem.
13		
14		The following steps were taken to confirm the reasonableness of the 2007
15		hydraulic production forecast:
16		
17		1. Calibrate the ARSP model to 2004 experience,
18		2. Validate the ASRP model against 2005 experience, and
19		Develop 2007 forecast hydraulic production.
20		
21		The calibration activity for ARSP involved collecting 2004 actual data, and
22		constraining the model on a monthly basis to produce reservoir storages and
23		channel flows consistent with 2004 experience. The resultant plant
24		production was compared to 2004 actual production. Where necessary,
25		plant efficiency curves were modified to bring simulated production closer to
26		actual production. The model was considered calibrated when annual
27		simulated production by plant was within $\pm~0.1\%$ of the annual total by plant.

The validation activity involved collecting 2005 actual data, and again constraining the model on a monthly basis to produce reservoir storages and channel flows consistent with 2005 experience. The resultant simulated production was compared with actual production. The simulated production was 0.57% greater than actual production for the year, with individual plant production typically varying between \pm 1% of actual. The calibrated and validated model was then used with 2007 forecast data to develop the 2007 hydraulic production estimate.

At various stages in the process, SGE Acres was engaged to ensure that the exercise was correct and reasonable. The attachments to IC 128 NLH document this correspondence. Attachments 1, 2, and 3 were actioned prior to or in conjunction with the calibration phase. The investigation into the spill (Attachment 4) was done in conjunction with the calibration and validation steps. The work and results were done during the two steps, while the documentation was delayed for several weeks. The opinion of SGE Acres regarding suitability and reasonableness is included in Attachment 5 and was provided after their review of all phases.